Summary recommendations on "Analytical methods for substances in the Watch List under the Water Framework Directive"

Robert Loos, Silwan Daouk, Dimitar Marinov, Livia Gómez, Elena Porcel-Rodríguez, Isabella Sanseverino, Laurence Amalric, Monica Potalivo, Elisa Calabretta, Martin Ferenčík, Luisa Colzani, Luisa DellaVedova, Luca Amendola, Mariateresa Saurini, Francesco Digirolamo, Sophie Lardy-Fontan, Manfred Sengl, Uwe Kunkel, Ola Svahn, Stefan Weiss, Stefano De Martin, Vito Gelao, Michele Bazzichetto, Peter Tarábek, Draženka Stipaničev, Siniša Repec, Dzintars Zacs, Marina Ricci, Oksana Golovko, Cintia Flores, Sheriban Ramani, Riin Rebane, Juan Alández Rodríguez, Teresa Lettieri



PII:	\$0048-9697(23)07335-7
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168707
Reference:	STOTEN 168707
To appear in:	Science of the Total Environment
Received date:	22 May 2023
Revised date:	16 November 2023
Accepted date:	17 November 2023

Please cite this article as: R. Loos, S. Daouk, D. Marinov, et al., Summary recommendations on "Analytical methods for substances in the Watch List under the Water Framework Directive", *Science of the Total Environment* (2023), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168707

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2023 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Summary recommendations on "Analytical methods for substances in the Watch List under the Water Framework Directive"

Robert Loos¹, Silwan Daouk², Dimitar Marinov³, Livia Gómez¹, Elena Porcel-Rodríguez⁴, Isabella Sanseverino¹, Laurence Amalric⁵, Monica Potalivo⁶, Elisa Calabretta⁶, Martin Ferenčík^{7,8}, Luisa Colzani⁹, Luisa DellaVedova⁹, Luca Amendola¹⁰, Mariateresa Saurini¹⁰, Francesco Digirolamo¹⁰, Sophie Lardy-Fontan¹¹, Manfred Sengl¹², Uwe Kunkel¹², Ola Svahn¹³, Stefan Weiss¹⁴, Stefano De Martin¹⁵, Vito Gelao¹⁵, Michele Bazzichetto¹⁵, Peter Tarábek¹⁶, Draženka Stipaničev¹⁷, Siniša Repec¹⁷, Dzintars Zacs¹⁸, Marina Ricci¹⁹, Oksana Golovko²⁰, Cintia Flores²¹, Sheriban Ramani²², Riin Rebane²³, Juan Alández Rodríguez²⁴ and Teresa Lettieri^{1*}

¹European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Ispra (VA) - Italy

²Swiss Water Association (VSA), Switzerland

³ARHS Developments S.A., Luxemburg

⁴Piksel s.r.l., Italy

⁵BRGM, French Geological Survey, France

⁶ISPRA, Italian Environmental Protection Agency, Italy

⁷Povodí Labe, státní podnik, Czech Republic

⁸Institute of Environmental and Chemical Engine, ring, University of Pardubice, Czech Republic

⁹ARPA Lombardia, the Regional Environmental Protection Agency-Lombardy Region, Italy

¹⁰ARPA Lazio, the Regional Environmental ³⁷ tection Agency-Lazio Region, Italy

¹¹French Agency for Food, Environmential and Occupational Health & Safety (ANSES), France

¹²Bavarian Environment Agencv (L¹), Germany

¹³Kristianstad University, MJLac Sweden

¹⁴Federal Environment Age, cy, Umweltbundesamt (GmbH), Austria

¹⁵ARPA FVG, the Regional Environmental Protection Agency-Friuli Venezia Giulia Region, Italy

¹⁶Water Research Institute (VÚVH), National Water Reference Laboratory, Slovakia

¹⁷Josip Juraj Strossmayer Water Institute, Central Water Laboratory, Croatia

¹⁸Institute of Food Safety, Animal Health and Environment "BIOR", Latvia

¹⁹European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), Geel, Belgium

²⁰Department of Aquatic Sciences and Assessment, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences (SLU), Sweden

²¹Mass Spectrometry Laboratory/Organic Pollutants, Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research (IDAEA-CSIC), Spain

²²Hydrometeorological Service, North Macedonia

²³Estonian Environmental Research Centre, Estonia

²⁴Área de Vigilancia y Control de Calidad de las Aguas, Ministerio para la Transición Ecológica y el Reto Demográfico, Spain

^{*} Author to whom all correspondence should be addressed: E-mail: teresa.lettieri@ec.europa.eu

Abstract

The Watch List (WL) is a monitoring program under the European Water Framework Directive (WFD) to obtain high-quality Union-wide monitoring data on potentia water pollutants for which scarce monitoring data or data of insufficient quality are available. The main purpose of the WL data collection is to determine if the substances pose a risk to the aquatic environment at EU level and subsequently to decide whether a threshold, the Environmental Quality standards (EQS) should be set for them and, potentially to be listed as priority substance in the WFD. The first WL was established in 2015 and contained 10 individual or groups of substances while the 4th WL was launched in 2022. The results of monitoring the substances of the first WL showed that some countries had difficulties to reach an analytical Limit of Quantification (LOQ) below or qual to the Predicted No-Effect Concentrations (PNEC) or EQS. The Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the European Commission (EC) organised a series of workshops to support the EU Member Stacs (MS) and their activities under the WFD. Sharing the knowledge among the Member States or the analytical methods is important to deliver good data quality. The outcome and the discussion engaged with the experts are described in this paper, and in addition a literature review of the most important publications on the analysis of 17-alphaethinylestradiol (EE2), amoxiciling corofloxacin, metaflumizone, fipronil, metformin, and guanylurea from the last years is presented.

Keywords: Limit of Quantification (LOQ); water sample; analytical method; technical guidance; partitioning

1. Introduction

The Watch List (WL) under the European Water Framework Directive (WFD) is a mechanism for obtaining high-quality Union-wide monitoring data on potential water pollutants for which scarce monitoring data or data of insufficient quality are available. The main purpose of the WL data collection is to determine the risk which the substances pose to the aquatic environment at EU level and to decide whether Environmental Quality Standards (EQS) should be set for them (EU, 2013). Therefore, high quality measurements are expected from the Member States (MS) meaning that the monitoring methods shall be validated and reach the stated method quantification limits.

The first WL was established by Commission Decision 2015/495 (EU, 2015) and contained 10 individual or groups of substances. The results of monitoring the substances of the first WL (Loos et al., 2018a) showed that some countries had difficulties to reach an analytical Limit . f Quantification (LOQ) below or equal to the Predicted No-Effect Concentrations (PNEC) or EQS for 5 out of the 17 WL substances (17-alpha-ethinylestradiol, 17-beta-estradiol, azithromycin, imidacloprid and inethiocarb).

For this reason, the Joint Research Centre (JRC) of the Europe: n Commission (EC) created a knowledge platform around the experts to provide support to the EU Member States and their activities under the WFD. To do so, the JRC organised a series of workshops and their follow up focused on the substances listed in the WL and their measurements. MS experts came cogether to share their experience and knowledge on analytical methods for the existing problem atic and new WL substances, to identify the obstacles in developing methods that meet the required PNEC or EQS, and to collaborate in method development by sharing technical details and information on method validation. In addition, the JRC technical workshops facilitated MS experts to openly discuss and exchange opinions about good analytical practices through which actions to improvement by learning from each other were proposed. Laboratory experts also discussed the prise to "ity to facilitate the analysis of the WL substances for other MS.

Before the 1st workshop in 2018, the JNC distributed a questionnaire to the participating laboratories in order to gather information about the analytical methods applied and the LOQ achieved for these substances. The answers to the questionnaire and a full detailed workshop report are published separately (Loos et al., 2012b).

The workshop in 2018 was Ittended by 35 experts from 21 countries (EU Member States and Iceland, Switzerland and Turkey; the participants list is provided in the SI). During this first workshop, the discussion focused mainly on the analytical methods for 5 substances from the 1st WL (see above), which were already identified with difficulties to reach the relevant LOQ (Loos et al., 2018a), as well as, on the methods for 3 new WL substances (amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin and metaflumizone), which were expected to show an EU-wide concern. Indeed, the latter have been included in the second WL (EU, 2018; Loos et al., 2018a), since the WL is being revised every two years in accordance with the EQS Directive (EU, 2013).

Moreover, in 2022, two additional online workshops were organised. During these workshops "Sharing knowledge on analytical methods for substances in the 4th Watch List under the Water Framework Directive" held in September and October 2022, a specific focus was put on the analysis of fipronil,

metformin and its transformation product guanylurea, which were included in the 4th WL (EU, 2022a). The participants shared their knowledge regarding the methods used to monitor them in water.

The workshop in 2022 was attended by 65 experts from 20 countries (EU Member States, Switzerland, North Macedonia and Turkey; participants list in the SI).

The outcome and discussion points of these workshops are described in the following sections.

2. Analytical Methods

2.1. 17-alpha-Ethinylestradiol (EE2)

For the first WL, the most challenging substance to analyse was 17-alpha-ethinylestradiol (EE2) with its very low EQS value of 0.035 ng/L. In the new WFD Commission revision proposal, this EQS has been lowered to 0.017 ng/L (EU, 2022b). Five countries have reported fc. EL? LOQ \leq EQS (0.035 ng/L), and other four countries a close LOQ (0.05 - 0.1 ng/L) (Loos et al 2018a). However, the workshop participants stressed that the analysis of EE2 at ultra-trace levils is complicated and work intensive because an efficient clean-up procedure (silica, florisil, aminopropyl, or gel permeation chromatography, GPC) and a very clean and sensitive liquid chromatography trulle quadrupole mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) instrument are obligatory. Selective extraction, ar dit, and clean-up and efficient LC separation are important for the analysis of estrogens, because r at 'r effects can cause a loss in sensitivity. Overall, many different analytical strategies, including sample extraction, clean-up and determination are applied in the countries. Analytical techniq es employed for EE2 are mainly based on solid-phase extraction (SPE) (with online- or off-line SPE ca. cidges or off-line SPE disks) followed by LC-MS/MS, but also liquid-liquid-extraction (LLE) and GC-MS/MS (gas chromatography) after derivatization are used. Reviews on analytical methods have bee mub shed by Tomsikova et al. (2012) and Loos (2015), and on the occurrence in environmental samples by Du et al. (2020). Table 1 gives a summary on selected analytical methods for EE2 includin; extraction, clean-up, detection, and LOD(Q). The optimization of the sample preparation is important for reducing matrix interferences and plays a significant role in enhancing sensitivity of analytical procedures for the determination of steroid hormones in environmental matrices. In sc rerai studies, derivatization improved the sensitivity of LC-MS detection because steroid estroge is crevieak acids and their ionization on ESI (electrospray ionization) and APCI (atmospheric pressure chemical ionization) are not very efficient compared with other more polar chemicals. Chemical derivatization can add on moieties improving ionization and enhance signals. Disadvantageous is the higher work intensiveness. On-line SPE is more straight forward, less sample volume is needed, but off-line SPE is more robust. The lowest LOQ reported in the literature for EE2 is 0.035 ng/L (equal to the annual average EQS) (Barreca et al., 2019; Mirmont et al., 2021). Barreca et al. (2019) have developed a two-step off-line-SPE (water volume: 1L) followed by an on-line SPE LC-MS-MS method, and Mirmont et al. (2021) have applied C18 SPE disk extraction of 1 L water, LC-NH₂ SPE (500 mg) clean-up, and dansyl derivatization LC-MS-MS analysis. Dansylated derivatives are analysed in positive ionization mode which increases sensitivity by 1-2 orders of magnitude.

The workshop participants from Austria (AT) and Sweden (SE) reported that in order to reduce the matrix effect it is better to inject a very small volume of sample extract (AT: 2 μ L; SE: 10 μ L). In addition, it was reported by the Czech Republic (CZ) that the less intensive, but more specific MS/MS transition (295.2 > 267.0) is better for real water samples because of less co-elution from matrix substances. The

usage of an isotopically labelled internal standard added before extraction has significant positive effect on precision and accuracy. No agreement on the use of ammonia in the HPLC eluent (used by AT and CZ) could be made, because SE has found a better ionization without ammonia (Svahn and Björklund, 2016). It was reported by Latvia that by applying SPE sample preparation and detection with GC-MS/MS it is possible to reach LOQ < EQS, although a laborious derivatization procedure should be applied before the instrumental analysis.

Lardy-Fontan et al. (2018) developed and validated in real matrix (with high suspended particulate matter (SPM) content) a two-step solid-phase extraction (aminopropyl SPE clean-up) LC-MS/MS method for estrone (E1), 17-beta-estradiol (E2), and EE2. LOQ of the method were 0.4 ng/L for E1 and E2 and 0.1 ng/L for EE2. The developed method was successfully implemented to monitor French inland surface waters contamination by E1, E2 and EE2, and the survey revealed a chronic state of contamination by E1 and significant one by E2 and EE2 (15 % quantification rate). Maximum measured concentrations were above PNEC concentrations (0.23 ng/L for EE2), indicating potential rick to ward the environment.

Deich et al. (2021) analysed surface water samples from the cout¹. China Sea near the Pearl River Estuary by SPE-LC-MS/MS (1L water; C18ec cartridge; LOQ 0.6 n_{b} ,⁴L). The maximum EE2 concentration in proximity to the coast was 0.6 ng/L.

Solaun et al. (2021) analysed emerging WL substances in waste water treatment plant effluents and receiving transitional and coastal water bodies of the Fasque coast (N-Spain) by on-line SPE-LC-MS/MS (water sample volume 50 mL) and achieved for 572 an LOQ of 0.116 ng/L. EE2 was not detected.

Mirmont et al. (2021) developed a multi-resider analytical procedure for the quantification of several natural and synthetic steroid hormones in whole surface water samples. Extraction of 1L water is performed with a C18 SPE disk follower. Invicient of the extracts by NH_2 -SPE (500 mg, 6 mL) and dansylation derivatization before LC-MS-M's analysis. The reported LOQ for EE2 is 0.035 ng/L. The method was applied to real sampler of the Rivers Meuse, Ourthe, Lesse, and Sambre in Belgium, and EE2 was not detected, but E1, E2, and E3 (estriol) at < 1 ng/L.

Borrull et al. (2020) included E52 in a multi-compound direct injection (500 μ L filtered water sample) online SPE LC-MS/MS analytically ethod for 34 priority and emerging pollutants in water, and obtained for EE2 and LOQ of 5 ng/L.

Lu et al. (2023) conducted a pharmaceuticals monitoring program in the Jiaozhou Bay (Qingdao, China). Analysis was performed by SPE-LC-MS/MS (1L water; Oasis HLB). The LOQ for EE2 is not given, but it was detected in river, bay and coastal water samples with maximum concentrations of 11 ng/L.

2.2. Amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin

The antibiotics amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin are usually analysed in multi-compound analytical methods based on off-line SPE followed by LC-MS/MS (Arun et al., 2022; Ben et al., 2020; Biel-Maeso et al., 2018; Boger et al., 2021; Cui et al., 2018; Fonseca et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2022; Gros et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2023; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2023; Zhou et al., 2022). However, amoxicillin is less frequently included in these multi-residue analytical methods (Biel-Maeso et al., 2018; Boger et al., 2021; Gros et al., 2013; Ismail et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2013; Ismail et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2019; Kairigo et al., 2020; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2017). One reason for this is that it is, due to its polar nature, less well extracted with conventional SPE absorber materials, resulting in low recoveries (Cha et al., 2006; Fabregat-Safont et al., 2017).

2021). The achievement of the respective PNEC of 0.078 μ g/L for amoxicilin and 0.089 μ g/L for ciprofloxacin is usually not a problem, with the exception of the work of Boger et al. (2021) for amoxicillin (LOQ: 200 ng/L). Cha et al. (2006) developed a SPE LC-MS/MS method for β -lactam antibiotics in water (200 mL water; EDTA addition) but observed recovery problems for amoxicillin.

Also four on-line SPE LC-MS/MS methods for antibiotics are reported in the literature, with LOQ of 2 ng/L for ciprofloxacin (injection volume: 5 mL) (Shen et al., 2022) (LOD: 2.3 ng/L; injection volume: 9.8 mL; Pozzo et al. (2006)), and 3.3 and 39 ng/L for ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin, respectively (injection volume: 900 μ L) (Tuc Dinh et al., 2011), and LOQ of 5 and 2.5 ng/L for ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin (injection volume: 500 μ L), respectively (Borrull et al., 2020).

Boix et al. (2014) presented a rapid analytical method for 40 drugs (amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin not included) based on direct sample injection of water samples (100 μ L) w. h LC-MS/MS; reported LOQ are around 3 ng/L.

Fabregat-Safont et al. (2021) developed a rapid and robust direc, injection LC-MS/MS method for amoxicillin in surface water (together with other six related per cinct antibiotics) and achieved an LOD of 9 ng/L (injection volume: 100μ L).

Rico et al. (2019) developed a SPE QTOF (quadrupole time of-flight) multi-residue method (sample volume: 200 mL) with LOQ of 60 and 500 ng/L for am ox cillin and ciprofloxacin, respectively. TOF MS is less sensitive.

Goessens et al. (2020) developed a multi-class ar alysis of 46 antimicrobial drug residues in pond water using SPE LC-Orbitrap-HRMS; an LOQ of 0.05 n_{b} , 'L was achieved for ciprofloxacin (sample volume: 500 mL).

Due to the relatively fast degradation c. er ioval of amoxicillin (Braschi et al., 2013; Ecke et al., 2023; Elizalde-Velázquez et al., 2016) and cipic floxacin (Cardoza et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2003; Van Doorslaer et al., 2014) in water, the sample should be analysed as fast as possible, preferably within one week. The water samples can be preserved in the laboratory in the freezer at -20°C (use of glass or HDPE bottles), but the stability and recovery should be tested for amoxicillin and ciprofloxacin. The water samples can also be store! after SPE extraction by freezing the SPE cartridges or disks (Llorca et al., 2014). Another conservation method is the addition of ascorbic acid and sodium azide and storage in the fridge at 4°C (Vanderford ... al., 2011). In addition, the stock solutions have to be stored in the freezer and working standards should be prepared freshly and, due to its instability when prepared in methanol, amoxicillin standard has to be dissolved in Milli-Q water (Gros et al., 2013; Kantiani et al., 2009; Mirzaei et al., 2017). After addition of the internal standard, the use of a chelating agent such as Na₂EDTA (ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid) is recommended because it improves the extraction efficiency for many antibiotics by lowering their complexation with metals or multivalent cations (Arun et al., 2022; Ben et al., 2020; Cui et al., 2018; Gros et al., 2013; Ismail et al., 2019; Kazprzyk-Horden et al., 2007; Li et al., 2018; Mirzaei et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2022; Zhou et al., 2022). The sample pH should be adjusted to ca. 2-3 with hydrochloric acid (HCl) and the SPE cartridges or disks (Oasis HLB or similar) conditioned with methanol and water (pH 2-3; using a pH buffer such as formic acid). Elution should be performed with methanol (despite its reported instability in methanol) and evaporation to dryness, followed by reconstitution in the HPLC solvent and LC-MS/MS analysis. Multiple reaction monitoring (MRM)

transitions after positive ionisation are 366 > 349, 114, 160, 208 for amoxicillin and 332 > 288, 231, 245 (m/z) for ciprofloxacin.

In the pharmaceuticals monitoring study by Lu et al. (2023) (see above), amoxicillin was identified as the dominant antibiotic, with maximum concentrations of 1334 ng/L in river and 93 ng/L in bay sea water samples, respectively. The maximum ciprofloxacin concentration in the river was 60 ng/L.

Li et al. (2018) investigated the occurrence, spatiotemporal distribution and ecological risks of 27 antibiotics in water and sediments from the Pearl and Yangtze Rivers estuary, S-China. Maximum river water concentrations of ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin were 459 and 125 n/L, respectively.

Biel-Maeso et al. (2018) investigated the occurrence and distribution of 78 pharmaceuticals in different aquatic marine environments from the Gulf of Cadiz (SW-Spain); ci, rofloxacin was found in coastal waters with a maximum concentration of 212 ng/L (detection frequency 43%); amoxicillin was not detected.

2.3. Metaflumizone

Very few publications on the analysis of metaflumizone in (nv), onmental water exist. Metaflumizone is a hydrophobic compound (log K_{ow} 6.9) (Jurado et al., 2022) and should be extracted from whole water samples by LLE or SPE disk or cartridge, followed by 'C MS/MS analysis (MRM transitions: 507 > 178, 287 (m/z)) (Loos et al., 2018a).

Metaflumizone was included in the watch line monitoring study of Solaun et al. (2021) in the Basque coast (N-Spain) (see above). Using on-line SPE-C-MS/MS (water sample volume 50 mL) an LOQ of 3.3 ng/L was achieved, but metaflumizone was not detected in any of the wastewater or receiving waters investigated.

Lopez et al. (2022) investigated several pharmaceuticals and pesticides in the influents and effluents of a wastewater treatment plant in N ao d (Spain) and the Manzanares River. The pesticides, including metaflumizone, were analysed by Sr \leq (50 mL water) LC-MS/MS, and an LOQ of 0.2 ng/L was achieved for metaflumizone, but it was not detected in the real water samples.

Metaflumizone was als in rluded in the direct injection on-line SPE LC-MS/MS analytical method of Borrull et al. (2020) (see abc re); the LOQ was 10 ng/L.

It can therefore be concluded that the requested maximum acceptable method detection limit of 65 ng/L for metaflumizone of the second WL (EU, 2018) is well achievable.

2.4. Fipronil

Fipronil is a nonpolar lipophilic compound (log $K_{OW} = 4.0$) which (bio-)accumulates in sediment, SPM (suspended particulate matter) and fish (mainly its metabolites f. desulfinyl and f. sulphide) (Deiu et al. 2021). It is therefore mainly analysed in solid matrices such as sediment, biota, or food (Gonçalves et al., 2022; Pasupuleti et al., 2023), but has recently also been analysed in water samples by SPE and LC-MS/MS (He et al., 2021; Labad et al., 2023; Li et al., 2019; Michel et al., 2016; Montiel-León et al., 2018; Onduka et al., 2022; Santos et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2020) or direct injection (DI) and LC-MS/MS (Halbach et al., 2021).

Its maximum acceptable quantification limit of Decision 2022/1307 (EU, 2022) is 0.77 ng/L, which is difficult to reach with DI-LC-MS/MS systems, which are the current tendency nowadays. That is why some laboratories (Italy, Croatia, German State Saxony and Swiss Cantons) analyse this compound with Liquid-Liquid Extraction (LLE) and GC-MS/MS systems (unpublished methods). Using LLE allows concentrating the sample and reducing the possible matrix effects. Several organic solvent can be used (hexan, cyclohexane, heptane, etc.). The use of dispersive liquid-liquid microextraction (DLLME), as presented by Croatia, can reduce the amount of solvent used. Compared to DI, LLE allows the analysis of the whole water fraction (see sample preparation discussion below). Finally, the sensitivity of the methods will depend on the instrument, especially on the ionization source (NCI, APCI, ESI).

Different Swiss cantonal water protection laboratories developed LLE-GC-MS/MS methods for the analysis of pyrethroid and organophosphate insecticides in water samples (Moschet et al., 2019), based on Rösch et al. (2019)). Aside from those compounds, fipronil is analyzed using the same methods since 2021. LOQ are in the range 0.025-0.5 ng/L and the first results from Swiss river samples indicate concentrations between 0.05 and 8 ng/L, and high detection freq ienc (100%) in small stream with high wastewater content (unpublished data).

In Germany, the Saxony State report a LLE-GC-MS/MS method with NCI ionization source with an LOQ of 0.02 ng/L. In Italy and Croatia, LOQ of 0.2 and 0.05 nr/L, respectively, are reported (unpublished method, Personal Communication).

Labad et al. (2023) conducted a multi-compound viver and groundwater monitoring program in the Besòs River delta (Spain). Analysis of 500 mL vater samples was performed by SPE LC-MS/MS. SPE was performed with manually prepared cartridges. The reported LOQ for fipronil is 0.34 ng/L, and the maximum concentration of fipronil in river water was 16 ng/L (average 13 ng/L).

Shi et al. (2023) conducted a monitoring and risk assessment study for fipronil and its metabolites in the Yangtze River (China). Analysis was parformed by SPE of filtered water samples (500 mL) followed by LC-MS/MS (LOQ: 0.01-0.02 ng/L). High detection frequencies of fipronil and its transformation products were observed. The median fipronal concentration was 0.13 ng/L (below the PNEC of 0.77 ng/L).

Halbach et al. (2021) perion, ed a pesticide-monitoring program in small agricultural streams in Germany during dry weather, and rainfall. The water samples were filtered with a disposable syringe filter and 1 mL of the filtered sample was spiked with five isotope-labeled internal standards of the pesticides. Fipronil was quantified using its ${}^{13}C_4$ isotope-labeled internal standard. Analyses were carried out with an LC-MS/MS system by direct injection of the aqueous samples and multiple-reaction-monitoring (LOQ: 0.7 ng/L). The insecticides thiacloprid, clothianidin and fipronil were the three compounds with the most frequent regulatory acceptable concentrations (RAC; 0.77 ng/L for fipronil) exceedances during dry weather (7 % of the samples with exceedances) as well as during rainfall events (13 % of the samples with exceedances).

He et al. (2021) analysed neonicotinoids, fipronil and its metabolites in a semi-closed bay in China by SPE disk followed by ion-trap LC-MS (LOQ 0.05 ng/L). The maximum concentration of fipronil in river water was 10 ng/L and in surface seawater 1.4 ng/L.

Wan et al. (2021) analysed neonicotinoids, fipronil, and other pesticides in surface water and drinking water from northern Vietnam by SPE LC-MS/MS (sample volume: 1L; LOQ: 0.01 ng/L). The median fipronil plus degradates concentration was 3.8 ng/L.

Shi et al. (2020) analysed fipronil and its metabolites in Chinese tap and ground water by SPE (500 mL) LC-MS/MS (LOQ: 0.02 ng/L); the maximum sum concentration detected was 5 ng/L.

Li et al. (2019) performed a simultaneous determination of seven neonicotinoids and fipronil and its metabolites in seawater and river water using disk-based SPE (water volume: 2L) followed by LC-MS/MS and achieved an LOQ of 0.05 ng/L.

Montiel-León et al. (2018) developed a fast automated on-line enrichment (direct injection of 2 mL water) coupled to LC-MS/MS for neonicotinoids and achieved for fipro ii an LOD of 0.1 ng/L for tap and 0.5 ng/L for surface water.

Michel et al. (2016) analysed fipronil and its metabolites in water and sel from the River Elbe (Germany) by SPE (200 mL) LC-MS/MS (LOQ: 0.08 ng/L). Total concentrations in the water samples were 0.5-1.6 ng/L.

2.5. Metformin and Guanylurea

The antidiabetic drug metformin is the pharmaceutica with the highest concentration in wastewater (up to 100 µg/L) but it is removed with > 98% in sewage treatment plants (STP, Oosterhuis et al.; 2013). The specified LOQ of Decision 2022/1307 (EU, 102:) is 156 µg/L while for guanylurea, biodegradation product of metformin, it is 100 µg/L. While these LOQ are rather high, the high polarity of the compounds requires a specific chromatographic separation. Moreover, the necessary LOQ for metformin probably needs to be reduted in the upcoming years, since there is evidence that this substance has reproductive effects in vertable ates (Crago et al., 2016; Niemuth et al., 2015; Niemuth and Klaper, 2015), which could lower the EQS by two orders of magnitude. For this reason, it is important to have validated sensitive analytical methods with lower LOQ to monitor this substance.

So far, several of the available analytical methods use hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC)-tandem mass spectron etry (HILIC-MS/MS) for the quantitative analysis of metformin and guanylurea (e.g. Boulard et al., 2018; Oertel et al., 2018; Scheurer et al., 2012).

The method described by Boulard et al. (2018) is based on a non-specific sample preparation using freeze-drying followed by detection with large injection (70 μ L) zwitterionic HILIC-ESI-MS/MS reaching LOQ of 5 and 20 ng/L for metformin and guanylurea, respectively.

Scheurer et al. (2012) extracted metformin and guanylurea by cation-exchange SPE (10 mL water sample) followed by HILIC-MS/MS. Metformin surface water concentrations in German rivers and creeks were between 0.22 and 3.1 μ g/L, and between 0.66 and 28 μ g/L for guanylurea.

Oosterhuis et al. (2013) reported the presence of metformin in the Netherlands with a concentration of 1800–3900 ng/L in surface water. Guanylurea was detected in STP effluents and surface waters at concentrations of 39–56 μ g/L and 1.8–3.9 μ g/L, respectively.

Blair et al. (2013) studied the presence of 54 pharmaceuticals and personal care products in Lake Michigan (USA), and the most widely detected pharmaceutical was metformin (median: > 100 ng/L; max. $3.8 \mu g/L$).

Posselt et al. (2018) investigated polar organic micropollutants in water samples from River Erpe (Germany) and reported a maximum concentration of 222 μ g/L guanylurea and 1.66 μ g/L metformin. Analysis was performed by direct injection LC-MS/MS (LOQ for guanylurea 213 ng/L, and for metformin 364 ng/L).

Malnes et al. (2022) extracted metformin by using Oasis HLB-cartridges (6 mL, 200 mg; 500 mL water sample; recovery 1-4 %; LOQ 0.016-0.021 ng/L) followed by LC-MS/MS. Mean, median, and maximum concentrations of metformin in Swedish rivers and lakes samples were 11, 2.6 and 120 ng/L and 1.6, 1.1 and 11 ng/L, respectively.

Ogunbanwo et al. (2020) analysed metformin within a multi-comp rune direct injection (100 μ L) C18 chromatography column LC-MS/MS method (LOQ: 8.4 ng/L), and found very high metformin concentration in surface waters from Nigeria (mean: 10 μ g/L; max: $C_{\mu}g/L$).

Balakrishnan et al. (2022) published a review on the occurrence, analysis and treatment methods of metformin.

3. Determination of the Limit of Quantificat on (LOQ)

Some Member States (MS) asked during the work shop in 2018 to specify in detail the different methods for the determination or calculation of the L.vit of Quantification (LOQ) including method validation and uncertainty estimations, because the coald be general guidelines (DIN 32645, 2008; EN ISO 17025, 2005; Eurachem Guide, 2014; IUPAC, 2002) are not sufficient for a uniform approach. It remained unclear whether the LOQ of the recented methods are derived from appropriate real surface water samples with or without stancing addition, or from calibration curves. A comparisons of method performances and of LOQ of *L*iffe ent methods are almost impossible since their evaluation is rarely described (matrix used, stalistical approach chosen, etc.). For a better harmonisation of the methods, a consensus on the determination of the LOQ is necessary, which needs a thorough discussion on the minimum requirements for sample preparation and analysis, i.e. representative surface water matrix, standard addition, recovery, reproducibility, uncertainty and multiplication factor. In general, the LOQ can be derived from a calibration curve, the analysis of blank water samples, or the analysis of (real) water samples spiked at 2-5 times the pre-estimated limit of quantification and then using the signal-tonoise ratio \geq 10 (\geq 3 for LOD). The latter approach appears to be the most reliable. The discussion held during the workshops should provide technical guidelines on monitoring strategies and analytical methods for substances in order to facilitate the implementation for all MS.

4. Which fraction analysing: Whole water or dissolved water phase?

The workshop showed that nowadays many MS are using Direct Injection (DI) techniques with LC-MS/MS. DI-LC-MS/MS should however only be performed for water soluble substances for which

partitioning to suspended particulate matter (SPM) can be neglected (low K_{OW} and $K_{d(sed)}$ values). The question is whether filtration or centrifugation of the water sample is allowed when DI is used. According to the EQS Directive (EU, 2013), "whole water" analysis, including the particle bound fraction, should be performed for the organic priority substances. Total concentration should be obtained by direct analysis of the whole water sample (without filtration) or by a separate determinations on filterable (dissolved) and solid phases (particulate) (Lardy-Fontan et al., 2018).

General principles of pollutant partitioning can be found in the literature (e.g., Vignati et al. (2009) and references therein). The Expert Group on Analysis and Monitoring of Priority Substances (AMPS) concluded in 2005 that no specific requirements could be made regarding which matrix (whole water, liquid or particulate phase) should be analyzed. Indeed, variations in hydrological and environmental circumstances, which are reflected in the quantity and the quality of Sph, preclude rigid categorization and make it difficult to establish general rules for the choice of the angrophiate matrix (Ademollo et al., 2012). However, the first and simplest approach is to consider the 'nvdrophobicity of the analytes, as recommended in the WFD CIS Guidance document No. 25 on sed men and biota monitoring (2010). The proposed rule of thumb is that compounds with log $K_{ow} > 5$ shc (Id preferably be measured in sediments or in SPM, while compounds with a log $K_{ow} < 3$ should preferably be measured in water (Ademollo et al., 2012). The WFD CIS Technical guidance document No. 27 for deriving Environmental Quality Standards (2011) however states in section 3.8 that "discrepancies between total and dissolved concentrations may only become evident for very hydrophobic substance's [...] with a log K_{ow} above 6". Since the EQS are usually derived in standard laboratory to be oncentrations.

It has been shown for lipophilic compound: (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, PAH) (Chen et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2023), and brominated dipremyre thers (BDE)) that the measurement of the dissolved fraction underestimates the total concentrations in water (Ademollo et al., 2012). For nonylphenol, a compound of medium lipophilicity (Fig K_{ow} 4.5), up to 50 % of the substance has been found adsorbed on the particles (Patrolecco et et al., 2006; Rusconi et al., 2015). The European Committee for Standardization (CEN) has already developed three standard methods for whole water analysis of hydrophobic substances (P/. π , 3DE, and organochlorine pesticides) in water with SPM content up to 500 mg/L and the use of SPE disc (EN 16691, EN 16694, EN 16693; 2015).

Tilli et al. (2016) investigated in France the partitioning of 26 pharmaceuticals, including 18 antibiotics, between the dissolved water phase and SPM. Drug residues associated with SPM were extracted from filters by pressurized liquid extraction (PLE) combined with an on-line SPE-LC-MS/MS system. In the investigated French rivers, the drug residues were present mainly in the dissolved phase. The concentrations of ciprofloxacin in the rivers were 6.6 and 6.4 ng/L in the dissolved phase, and 1.2 and 1.4 ng/L on SPM, respectively, which shows that approximately 20 % of ciprofloxacin is present in the particulate water phase. Therefore, analysis of the dissolved water phase by direct LC-MS/MS injection could deliver for this substance acceptable results similar (but a bit lower) to whole water analysis. However, this aspect should be investigated in more detail in order to confirm the results of Tilli et al. (2016). Sediment appears to be a sink for fluoroquinolone antibiotics (Shi et al., 2014).

Lardy-Fontan et al. (2018) give a review on "whole-water analysis" of estradiol hormones. Nie et al. (2014) determined the association of estrogen compounds among different water phases: SPM, filtrate

(dissolved), and colloidal phases. Their results highlighted that, depending on the type of matrix and season, 5-35 % of E2 (log K_{ow} 4.0) and up to 100 % of EE2 (log K_{ow} 4.2) were associated with SPM fractions. In 2015, the same authors carried out a study on the occurrence, distribution and risk assessment of six estrogen substances in samples of surface water, SPM, and sediment in the Yangtze Estuary (China) and its coastal areas over four seasons. The SPM phase for total estrogens contributed between 16 to 88 %, however, EE2 was not detected in any of the water samples (Nie et al., 2015). These observations confirmed that colloids and SPM could act as a significant sink for environmental estrogens. Moreover, Neale et al. (2009) showed that ca. 50 % of E2 could be lost by filtration through cellulose acetate filters, and de Graaff et al. (2011) reported up to 64 % adsorption of estrogens (E1, E2, EE2) when filtrated over a glass fiber filter.

Yarahmadi et al. (2018) conducted in Canada extensive environmen 2! monitoring in an urban river impacted by multiple combined sewer overflows and wastewater tractment plant (WWTP) discharge points. Temporal and spatial distributions of dissolved and particulate steroids were investigated in sewage, WWTP effluents, receiving river water and sediments. TI e water samples were filtered and the SPM was extracted by ultrasonic solvent extraction follow d by C18 SPE clean-up. The particle-associated steroids represented 0-82% of their total concrimination as some steroids like estrone (E1) and estriol (E3) were detected only in the dissolved phase.

Wilkinson et al. (2017), however, investigated the spatial distribution of some pharmaceuticals (including EE2), illicit drugs, plasticisers, and pe flourinated compounds in three rivers of Southern England bound to SPM and dissolved in water, and the selected analytes were almost exclusively found dissolved in sampled waters with an EE2 mean concentrations of 0.23 ng/L (detection frequency 3%). EE2 was not detected in SPM, but PFOA and PFOS.

Goeury et al. (2022b) investigated the occurrence of steroid hormones in surface waters and suspended sediments in Canada (St. Lawrence Kurer and its major tributaries). The suspended particulate matter (SPM) was sampled on glass fiber theres, which were then extracted with a mixture of methanol and acetone (3:1 v/v; 5 mL) in a 12 m. centrifuge tube by vortex-mixing (10 s) and sonification (20 min), followed by a C18 SPE cartildge clean-up. EE2 detection frequency in the dissolved phase was 1.5 %, and a max. concentration of 2.8 mg/L, and in SPM 2.9 % and 63.9 ng/g, respectively. The most frequent compound in SPM was bisplenol A.

Xu et al. (2024) investigated 31 steroids (E2 and EE2 not included) and metabolites in SPM, water phase and sediments of the Pearl River Estuary (China) during the dry and wet seasons to elucidate their spatiotemporal patterning, partitioning behavior, and environmental fate. The results showed that natural steroids predominated in SPM and sediments while the metabolites predominated in water.

Thus it can be concluded that EE2 and E2 are strongly adsorbed to particulate matter (around 40 % for E2 and 70 % for EE2) and therefore whole water samples have to be extracted and analysed.

Lorre et al. (2023) studied phthalate esters delivery to the largest European lagoon (Curonian Lagoon, south-east Baltic Sea). Di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate (DEHP) was the most abundant phthalate ester and was mainly found attached to particulate matter, highlighting the importance of this matrix in the

transport of such contaminant. Dibutyl phthalate (DnBP) and diisobutyl phthalate (DiBP) were the other two dominant phthalate esters found in the area, mainly detected in dissolved phase.

The multicompartment partitioning of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) was studied by several scientists (Ahrens et al., 2011; Ding et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2022). While perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), and perfluorooctansulfonate (PFOS) mainly distribute in the dissolved phase and SPM at low suspended solid concentrations, more diverse compositions were observed in sediment and biota with the increase in contributions of long-chain PFASs.

In conclusion, the participants of the workshop agreed that compounds with a log $K_{ow} < 3$ should preferably be measured in the dissolved water phase, and compounds with log $K_{ow} > 5$ in sediment, SPM, or biota. A more precise investigation of the partitioning behaviour is necessary for compounds with log K_{ow} between 3 and 5, such as fipronil. The correction of the discrepancies in the different technical guidance documents is recommended.

5. Conclusions

During the workshops, the current analytical method, logi s for the quantification of selected substances from the surface water Watch List and their linits were discussed among experts. It was a good opportunity to share the analytical protocols, e.p. rience and eventually to provide analytical service to those countries which could not meas re properly some of the existing substances. The discussions revealed some discrepancies betwee WFD requirements (whole water analysis) and the reality of analytical methods (direct injection a.ter filtration or centrifugation) for several substances. Indeed, the question regarding the particul. te vs dissolved content of WL substances (e.g. ciprofloxacin) in the water column was addressed and the latest literature was reviewed for the substances. This question should be addressed for each subscance and if necessary, some tests have to be done in order to use the appropriate analytical mechods for the appropriate matrix. Moreover, a working group at the EC could make proposals of guidelines on whether and in which cases the dissolved fraction could be a good approximation for the whole water fraction. Some lacks in the calculation and reporting of LOD/LOQ were also clearly identified. Such workshops are really worth as it allows a fruitful exchange between experts from l'ifternit countries, as well as identifying synergies and discrepancies. They allowed discussions in order to ensure the delivery of good data quality for the WL substances, which will then drive decision-making since it is the prerequisite to assess and evaluate their risk at EU level. However, the discrepancies between the different technical guidance documents and the examination of the partitioning and "whole water" analysis (because the EQS refer to the whole water concentrations) should be addressed for each substance and corrected if necessary. In conclusion, further workshops will be held as a follow-up to the subsequent dynamic list of substances within the WL, with the aim of improving analytical methods for the monitoring strategy that the MS may require.

6. Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Arne Wick for the information he provided and for his fruitful suggestions. We would also like to acknowledge all the group of experts for the enthusiasm in participating and providing inputs for improving the analytical methods.

7. References

Ademollo, N., Patrolecco, L., Polesello, S., Valsecchi, S., Wollgast, J., Mariani, G., Hanke, G., 2012. The analytical problem of measuring total concentrations of organic pollutants in whole water. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 36, 71-81.

Ahrens, L., Yeung, L.W.Y., Taniyasu, S., Lam, P.K.S., Yamashita, N., 2011. Partitioning of perfluorooctanoate (PFOA), perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctane sulphonamide (PFOSA) between water and sediment. Chemosphere 85, 731–737.

Alvarez, D.A., Shappell, N.W., Billey, L.O., Bermudez, D.S., Wilson, V.S., Kolpin, D.W., Perkins, S.D., Evans, N., Foreman, W.T., Gray, J.L., Shipitalo, M.J., Meyer, M.T., 2013. Bioassay of estrogenicity and chemical analyses of estrogens in streams across the United States associated with livestock operations. Water Research 47, 3347-3363.

Arun, S., Xin, L., Gaonkar, O., Neppolian, B., Zhang, G., Chakrabor C. F. 2022. Antibiotics in sewage treatment plants, receiving water bodies and groundwater of Channa city and the suburb, South India: Occurrence, removal efficiencies, and risk assessment. Science Cf the Total Environment 851, 158195.

Balakrishnan, A., Sillanpää, M., Jacob, M.M., Vo, D.-V.N., 1922 Metformin as an emerging concern in wastewater: Occurrence, analysis and treatment methods 2nvil onmental Research 213, 113613.

Barreca, S., Busetto, M., Colzani, L., Clerici, L., Daver, D. Dellavedova, P., Balzamo, S., Calabretta, E., Ubaldi, V. 2019. Determination of estrogenic and crine disruptors in water at sub-ng L-1 levels in compliance with Decision 2015/495/EU uring offline-online solid phase extraction concentration coupled with high performance liquid chrom. tography-tandem mass spectrometry. Microchemical Journal 147, 1186-1191.

Ben, Y., Hu, M., Zhang, X., Wu, S., Wong, M.H., Wang, M., Andrews, C.B., Zheng, C., 2020. Efficient detection and assessment of human exposure to trace antibiotic residues in drinking water. Water Res. 175, 115699.

Biel-Maeso, M., Baena-Nogueras, R.M., Corada-Fernandez, C., Lara-Martín, P.A., 2018. Occurrence, distribution and environmental rock of pharmaceutically active compounds (PhACs) in coastal and ocean waters from the Gulf of Cacia (S V Spain). Sci. Total Environ. 612, 649–659.

Blair, B.D., Crago, J.P., Hedman, C.J., Klaper, R.D., 2013. Pharmaceuticals and personal care products found in the Great Lakes above concentrations of environmental concern. Chemosphere 93, 2116–2123.

Boger, B., Surek, M., Vilhena, R.O., Fachi, M.M., Junkert, A.M., Santos, J.M., et al., 2021. Occurrence of antibiotics and antibiotic resistant bacteria in subtropical urban rivers in Brazil. J. Hazard. Mater. 402, 123448.

Boix, C., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J.V., Rambla, J., Aranda, J.L., Ballester, S., Hernández, F., 2014. Fast determination of 40 drugs in water using large volume direct injection liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Talanta 131. 719–727.

Borrull, J., Colom, A., Fabregas, J., Borrull, F., Pocurull, E., 2020. Liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry determination of 34 priority and emerging pollutants in water from the influent and effluent of a drinking water treatment plant. Journal of Chromatography A 1621, 461090.

Boulard, L., Dierkes, G., Ternes, T., 2018. Utilization of large volume zwitterionic hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography for the analysis of polar pharmaceuticals in aqueous environmental samples: Benefits and limitations. Journal of Chromatography A 1535, 27–43.

Braschi, I., Blasioli, S., Fellet, C., Lorenzini, R., Garelli, A., Pori, M., Giacomini, D., 2013. Persistence and degradation of new b-lactam antibiotics in the soil and water environment. Chemosphere 93, 152–159.

Cardoza, L.A., Knapp, C.W., Larive, C.K., Belden, J.B., Lydy, M., Graham, D.W., 2005. Factors affecting the fate of ciprofloxacin in aquatic field systems. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 161, 383–398.

Čelić, M., Insa, S., Škrbić, B., Petrović, M., 2017. Development of a sensitive and robust online dual column liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for the analysis of natural and synthetic estrogens and their conjugates in river water and wastewater. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 409, 5427–5440.

Cha, J.M., Yang, S., Carlson, K.H. 2006. Trace determination of β -lact m a tibiotics in surface water and urban wastewater using liquid chromatography combined with electrospray tandem mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1115, 46–57.

Chang, H., Wan, Y., Wu, S., Fan, Z., Hu, J., 2011. Occurrence of androgens and progestogens in wastewater treatment plants and receiving river waters: Convarison to estrogens. Water Research 45, 732-740.

Chang, H., Shen, X., Shao, B., Wu, F., 2018. Sensitive analysis of steroid estrogens and bisphenol a in small volumes of water using isotope-dilution ubra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, Environ. Pollut. 235, 881–888.

Chen, C. Lin, T., Sun, X., Wu, Z., Tang, '. 2023. Spatiotemporal distribution and particle–water partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrc :a' a 'ns in Bohai Sea, China. Water Research 244, 120440.

Crago, J., Bui, C., Grewal, S., Schlenk D. 2016. Age-dependent effects in fathead minnows from the antidiabetic drug metformin. General and comparative Endocrinology 232, 185-190.

Cui, C., Han, Q., Jiang, L., Ma, L., Jh., L., Zhang, D., Lin, K., Zhang, T. 2018. Occurrence, distribution, and seasonal variation of antibiotics in an artificial water source reservoir in the Yangtze River delta, East China. Environmental Science ard Pollution Research 25, 19393–19402.

Deich, C., Frazão, H.C., Appe t, J.-S., Li, W., Pohlmann, T., Waniek, J.J., 2021. Occurrence and distribution of estrogenic substances in the northern South China Sea. Science of the Total Environment 770, 145239.

de Graaff, M.S., Vieno, N.M., Kujawa-Roeleveld, K., Zeeman, G., Temmink, H., Buisman, C.J.N., 2011. Fate of hormones and pharmaceuticals during combined anaerobic treatment and nitrogen removal by partial nitritation-anammox in vacuum collected black water. Water Res. 45, 375–383.

Deiu, A.S., Ondarza, P.M., Miglioranza, K.S.B., de la Torre F.R., 2021. Multibiomarker responses and bioaccumulation of fipronil in Prochilodus lineatus exposed to spiked sediments: Oxidative stress and antioxidant defenses. Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology 177, 104876.

DIN 32645: 2008. Chemical analysis - Decision limit, detection limit and determination limit under repeatability conditions - Terms, methods, evaluation.

Ding, G., Xue, H., Yao, Z., Wang, Y., Ge, L., Zhang, J., Cui, F., 2018. Occurrence and distribution of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in the water dissolved phase and suspended particulate matter of the Dalian Bay, China. Chemosphere 200, 116-123.

Du, B., Fan, G., Yu, W., Yang, S., Zhou, J., Luo, J., 2020. Occurrence and risk assessment of steroid estrogens in environmental water samples: A five-year worldwide perspective. Environmental Pollution 267, 115405.

Ecke, A., Westphalen, T., Retzmann, A., Schneider, R.J., 2023. Factors affecting the hydrolysis of the antibiotic amoxicillin in the aquatic environment. Chemosphere 311, 136921.

Elizalde-Velázquez, A., Gómez-Oliván, L.M., Galar-Martínez, M., Islas-Flores, H., Dublán-García, O., SanJuan-Reyes, N., 2016. Amoxicillin in the aquatic environment, is fate and environmental risk. Chapter from the book Environmental Health Risk - Hazardous Factors to Living Species; http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/62049.

EN 16691: 2015. Water quality - Determination of selected polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) in whole water samples - Method using solid phase extraction (SPE) with SPE-disks combined with gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

EN 16694: 2015. Water quality - Determination of selected polybrominated diphenyl ether (PBDE) in whole water samples - Method using solid phase e trac ion (SPE) with SPE-disks combined with gas chromatography - mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

EN 16693: 2015. Water quality. Determination of organochlorine pesticides (OCP) in whole water samples. Method using solid phase extraction (SFC) with SPE-disks combined with gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS).

EN ISO 17025: 2005 (updated 2019). Seneral requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories.

EPA Method 1698. December 2007. Steroids and hormones in water, soil, sediment, and biosolids by HRGC/HRMS. EPA-821-R-08-062 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, Washington, DC, USA.

Esteban, S., Gorga, M., Pet, pvic, M., González-Alonso, S., Barceló, D., Valcárcel, Y., 2014. Analysis and occurrence of endocrine-disrupting compounds and estrogenic activity in the surface waters of Central Spain. Science of the Total Environment 466–467, 939–951.

EU, 2013. Directive 2013/39/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 August 2013 amending Directives 2000/60/EC and 2008/105/EC as regards priority substances in the field of water policy. Official Journal of the European Union, L226/1-17, 24.8.2013.

EU, 2015. Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/495 of 20 March 2015 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European Union, L 78/40-42, 24.3.2015.

EU, 2018. Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2018/840 of 5 June 2018 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of

the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2015/495. Official Journal of the European Union, L 141/9-12, 7.6.2018.

EU, 2020. Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2020/1161 of 4 August 2020 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council (notified under document number C(2020) 5205). Official Journal of the European Union, L 257, 6.8.2020, p. 32–35

EU, 2022a. Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2022/1307 of 22 July 2022 establishing a watch list of substances for Union-wide monitoring in the field of water policy pursuant to Directive 2008/105/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. Official Journal of the European Union, L 197/117-121, 26.7.2022.

EU, 2022b. ANNEXES to the Proposal for a Directive of the European Forliament and of the Council amending Directive 2000/60/EC establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy, Directive 2006/118/EC on the protection of groundwater right st pollution and deterioration and Directive 2008/105/EC on environmental quality standards in the field of water policy. COM(2022) 540 final. Publication date 26 October 2022. Available on-line at: https://environment.ec.europa.eu/publications/proposal-an.on/ing-water-directives_en

Eurachem Guide. 2014. B. Magnusson and U. Orner (ar.): The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods – A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics, (2nd ed. 2014). ISBN 978-91-87461-59-0. Available from www.eurachem.org.

Fabregat-Safont, D., Pitarch, E., Bijlsma, L., Mate I., Hernández, F. 2021. Rapid and sensitive analytical method for the determination of amoxicillin and related compounds in water meeting the requirements of the European Union watch list. Journal of the Safort A 1658, 462605.

Fonseca, E., Hernández, F., Ibáñez, N., Nico, A., Pitarch, E., Bijlsma, L., 2020. Occurrence and ecological risks of pharmaceuticals in a Mediterral ean river in Eastern Spain, Environ. Int. 144, 106004.

Fu, C., Xu, B., Chen, H., Zhao, X., Li, G., Zheng, Y., Qiu, W., Zheng, C., Duan, L., Wang, W. 2022. Occurrence and distribution of anubiotics in groundwater, surface water, and sediment in Xiong'an New Area, China, and their relationship with antibiotic resistance genes. Science of the Total Environment 807, 151011.

Gao, L., Liu, J., Bao, K., Chen, N., Meng, B., 2020. Multicompartment occurrence and partitioning of alternative and legacy per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in an impacted river in China. Sci. Total Environ., 729, 138753.

Goessens, T., Huysman, S., De Troyer, N., Deknock, A., Goethals, P., Lens, L., Vanhaecke, L., Croubels, S., 2020. Multi-class analysis of 46 antimicrobial drug residues in pond water using UHPLC-Orbitrap-HRMS and application to freshwater ponds in Flanders, Belgium, Talanta 220, 121326.

Goeury, K., Vo Duy, S., Munoz, G., Prevost, M., Sauve, S., 2019. Analysis of Environmental Protection Agency priority endocrine disruptor hormones and bisphenol A in tap, surface and wastewater by online concentration liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1591, 87–98.

Goeury, K., Vo Duy, S., Munoz, G., Prevost, M., Sauve, S., 2022 (a). Assessment of automated off-line solid-phase extraction LC-MS/MS to monitor EPA priority endocrine disruptors in tap water, surface water and wastewater. Talanta 241, 123216.

Goeury, K., Munoz, G., Vo Duy, S., Prevost, M., Sauve, S., 2022 (b). Occurrence and seasonal distribution of steroid hormones and bisphenol A in surface waters and suspended sediments of Quebec, Canada. Environmental Advances 8, 100199.

Gonçalves, S., Wust Vasconcelos, M., Mendonça Mota, T.F., Hofma Lopes, J.M., Guimaraes, L.J., Miglioranza, K.S.B., de Castilhos Ghisi, N., 2022. Identifying global trends and gaps in research on pesticide fipronil_a scientometric review. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 29, 79111–79125.

Gros, M., Rodríguez-Mozaz, S., Barceló, D., 2013. Rapid analysis of noticiticass antibiotic residues and some of their metabolites in hospital, urban wastewater and river wote. by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography coupled to quadrupole-linear ion trap tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1292, 173–188.

Grover, D.P., Zhang, Z.L., Readman, J.W., Zhou, J.L., 2009. A comparison of three analytical techniques for the measurement of steroidal estrogens in environment. I water samples. Talanta 78, 1204-1210.

Guidance document No. 25 on chemical monitoring of sogiment and biota under the Water Framework Directive. 2010. ISBN 978-92-79-16224-4; doi: 10.2775, '+3^c 86.

Guidance document No. 27. Technical guidance for deviving Environmental Quality Standards under the Water Framework Directive. 2011. ISBN 978-92-19-16228-2; doi: 10.2779/43816.

Gusmaroli, L., Buttiglieri, G., Petrovic, M., 2019. The EU watch list compounds in the Ebro delta region, Assessment of sources, river transport, and chasonal variations, Environ. Pollut. 253, 606–615.

Halbach, K., Moder, M., Schrader, S., Liebmann, L., Schafer, R.B., Schneeweiss, A., Schreiner, V.C., Vormeier, P., Weisner, O., Liess, M., Reomtsma, T., 2021. Small streams–large concentrations? Pesticide monitoring in small agricultural streams in Germany during dry weather and rainfall. Water Research 203, 117535.

He, X., Chen, J., Li, X., Wang, J., Xin, M., Sun, X., Cao, W., Wang, B., 2021. Pollution status, influencing factors and environmental risks of neonicotinoids, fipronil and its metabolites in a typical semi-closed bay in China. Environ. Pollut. 291, 118210.

Ismail, N.A.H., Wee, S.Y., Kamarulzaman, N.H., Aris, A.Z., 2019. Quantification of multi–classes of endocrine-disrupting compounds in estuarine water, Environ. Pollut. 249, 1019–1028.

IUPAC, 2002. Technical Report. Harmonized guidelines for single laboratory validation of methods of analysis. Pure Appl. Chem. 74, No. 5, 835–855.

Jiang, L., Zhai, W., Wang, J., Li, G., Zhou, Z., Li, B., Zhuo, H., 2023. Antibiotics and antibiotic resistance genes in the water sources of the Wuhan stretch of the Yangtze River: Occurrence, distribution, and ecological risks. Environmental Research 239, 117295.

Jurado, A., Pujades, E., Walther, M., Diaz-Cruz, M.S., 2022. Occurrence, fate, and risk of the organic pollutants of the surface water watch List in European groundwaters: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters 20, 3313–3333.

Kairigo, P., Ngumba, E., Sundberg, L.-.R., Gachanja, A., Tuhkanen, T., 2020. Occurrence of antibiotics and risk of antibiotic resistance evolution in selected Kenyan wastewaters, surface waters and sediments, Sci. Total Environ. 720, 137580.

Kantiani, L., Farre, M., Sibum, M., Postigo, C., Lopez de Alda, M., Barceló, D., 2009. Fully automated analysis of beta-lactams in bovine milk by online solid phase extraction-liquid chromatography-electrospray-tandem mass spectrometry. Anal. Chem. 81, 4285–4295.

Kazprzyk-Horden, B., Dinsdale, R., Guwy, A., 2007. Multiresidue method for the formation of basic/neutral pharmaceuticals and illicit drugs in surface water solid-phase extraction and ultraperformance liquid chromatography-positive electro spray ionization tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1161, 132–145.

Labad, F., Ginebreda, A., Criollo, R., Vazquez-Sune, E., Perez, S., Jurado A., ⁹023. Occurrence, data-based modelling, and risk assessment of emerging contaminants in an allu ial aquifer polluted by river recharge. Environmental Pollution 316, 120504.

Lam, M.W., Tantuca, K., Mabury, S.A., 2003. Photofate: A new approach in accounting for the contribution of indirect photolysis of pesticides and phar nac, uticals in surface waters. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 899–907.

Lardy-Fontan, S., Le Diouron, V., Fallot, C., Vas in R imann, S., Lalere, B., 2018. Toward the determination of estrogenic compounds in the framework of EU watch list: validation and implementation of a two-step solid phase ex rac on-liquid phase chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry method. Accreditation and Q. ality Assurance 23, 285–295.

Li, J., Fu, J., Zhang, H., Li, Z., Ma, Y., Wu, M., Liu, X., 2013. Spatial and seasonal variations of occurrences and concentrations of endocrine disrupting chemicals in unconfined and confined aquifers recharged by reclaimed water: A field study along the Chaobai River, Beijing. Science of the Total Environment 450–451, 162–168.

Li, S., Shi, W., Li, H., Xu, N., Zhang, D., Chen, X., et al., 2018. Antibiotics in water and sediments of rivers and coastal area of Zhuhai Guy, Pearl River estuary, south China. Sci. Total Environ. 636, 1009–1019.

Li, X., Chen, J., He, X., Wang, Z., Wu, D., Zheng, X., Zheng, L., Wang, B., 2019. Simultaneous determination of neonicc^{+ir} oids and fipronil and its metabolites in environmental water from coastal bay using disk-based solid-phase extraction and high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Chemosphere 234, 224-231.

Li, W., Li, H., Zhang, D., et al., 2022. Legacy and emerging per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances behave distinctly in spatial distribution and multimedia partitioning: a case study in the Pearl River, China. Environ. Sci. Technol. 56, 3492–3502.

Lien, G.-W., Chen, C.-Y., Wang, G.-S., 2009. Comparison of electrospray ionization, atmospheric pressure chemical ionization and atmospheric pressure photoionization for determining estrogenic chemicals in water by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry with chemical derivatizations. Journal of Chromatography A 1216, 956–966.

Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Li, J., Wu, N., Li, W., Niu, Z., 2019. Distribution, partitioning behavior and positive matrix factorization based source analysis of legacy and emerging polyfluorinated alkyl substances in the dissolved phase, surface sediment and suspended particulate matter around coastal areas of Bohai Bay, China. Environmental Pollution 246, 34-44.

Llorca, M., Gros, M., Rodríguez-Mozaza, S., Barceló, D., 2014. Sample preservation for the analysis of antibiotics in water. Journal of Chromatography A 1369, 43–51.

Loos, R. 2015. Analytical methods for possible WFD 1st watch list substances. JRC Science and Policy Report, EUR 27064 EN, ISBN 978-92-79-44734-1, doi:10.2788/723416.

Loos, R., Marinov, D., Sanseverino, I., Napierska, D., Lettieri, T., 2018a. Review of the 1st Watch List under the Water Framework Directive and recommendations for the 2nd Watch List. JRC Technical Report, EUR 29173 EN, ISBN 978-92-79-81839-4, doi:10.2760/614367.

Loos, R., Marinov, D., Sanseverino, I., Lettieri, T., 2018b. Analytical methods for substances in the Watch List under the Water Framework Directive. Workshop Report. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2018, ISBN 978-92-79-96856-3, JRC113172, doi:10.760/74723.

Lopez, F.J., Pitarch, E., Botero-Coy, A.M., Fabregat-Safon, D, Ibáñez, M., Marin, J.M., Peruga, A., Ontañón, N., Martínez-Morcillo, S., Olalla, A., Valcárcel, Y., Caró, I., Hernández, F., 2022. Removal efficiency for emerging contaminants in a WWTP for Madrid (Spain) after secondary and tertiary treatment and environmental impact on the Manaconares River. Science of the Total Environment 812, 152567.

Lorre, E., Bianchi, F., Vybernaite-Lubiene, L., Mezh e, J., Zilius, M., 2023. Phthalate esters delivery to the largest European lagoon: Sources, partitioning and seasonal variations, Environmental Research 235, 116667.

Lu, S., Wang, J., Wang, B., Xin, M., Lin, C., Gu, X., Lian, M., Li, Y., 2023. Comprehensive profiling of the distribution, risks and priority of pinal maceuticals and personal care products: A large-scale study from rivers to coastal seas. Water Rescarcin 230, 119591.

Malnes, D., Ahrens, L., Köhler, C., Forsberg, M., Golovko, O. 2022. Occurrence and mass flows of contaminants of emerging concern (CECs) in Sweden's three largest lakes and associated rivers. Chemosphere 294, 133825.

Matejicek, D., Kuban, V., 2008. Enhancing sensitivity of liquid chromatographic/ion-trap tandem mass spectrometric determination of estrogens by on-line pre-column derivatization. Journal of Chromatography A 1192, 248-253.

Miège, C., Bados, P., Brosse, C., Coquery, M., 2009. Method validation for the analysis of estrogens (including conjugated compounds) in aqueous matrices. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 28, 237-244.

Mirmont, E., Bœuf, A., Charmel, M., Vaslin-Reimann, S., Lalere, B., Laprevote, O., Lardy-Fontan, S. 2021. Development and implementation of an analytical procedure for the quantification of natural and synthetic steroid hormones in whole surface waters. Journal of Chromatography B 1175, 122732.

Mirzaei, R., Yunesian, M., Nasseri, S., Gholami, M., Jalilzadeh, E., Shoeibi, S., Shafieyan Bidshahi, H., Mesdaghinia, A., 2017. An optimized SPE-LC-MS/MS method for antibiotics residue analysis in ground,

surface and treated water samples by response surface methodology- central composite design. Journal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering 15, 21.

Montiel-León, J.m., Vo Duy, S., Munoz, G., Amyot, M., Sauvé, S. 2018. Evaluation of on-line concentration coupled to liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry for the quantification of neonicotinoids and fipronil in surface water and tap water. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 410, 2765-2779.

Moschet, C., Deola, L, Ruff, M., Söser, R., Mühlemann, S., Altherr, T., Götz, C., Wyss, A., Singer, H., Rösch, A., Beck, B., Daouk, S. 2019. Task-Force Pyrethroide. Erfolgreiche Zusammenarbeit der Kantone auf der Suche nach toxischen Insekiziden im Gewässer, Aqua & Gas 11, 68-73.

Neale, P.A., Pronk, W., Schaefer. A.I., 2009. Influence of pH on losses of analyte estradiol in sample prefiltration. Environ. Eng. Sci. 26, 1157–1161.

Nie, M., Yang, Y., Liu, M., Yan, C., Shi, H., Dong, W., Zhou, J.L., 2014 Environmental estrogens in a drinking water reservoir area in Shanghai: occurrence, colloidal contribution and risk assessment. Sci Total Environ. 487,785–791.

Nie, M., Yan, C., Dong, W., Liu, M., Zhou, J., Yang, Y., 2015. Occurrence, distribution and risk assessment of estrogens in surface water, suspended particulate matter, and sediments of the Yangtze Estuary. Chemosphere 127,109–116.

Niemuth, N. J., Jordan, R., Crago, J., Blanksma, C., Johr son, R., & Klaper, R. D., 2015. Metformin exposure at environmentally relevant concentrations causes notential endocrine disruption in adult male fish. Environmental toxicology and chemistry 34(2) 29 -296.

Niemuth, N. J., & Klaper, R. D., 2015. Emerging wastewater contaminant metformin causes intersex and reduced fecundity in fish. Chemosphere 125, 58-45.

Ng, B., Quinete, N., Maldonado, S., Lugo, C. Purrinos, J., Briceño, H., Gardinali, P., 2021. Understanding the occurrence and distribution of merging pollutants and endocrine disruptors in sensitive coastal South Florida Ecosystems. Science of the Total Environment 757. 143720.

Oertel, R., Baldauf, J., Rossmann, J., 2018. Development and validation of a hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography-tanuen. mass spectrometry method for the quantification of the antidiabetic drug metformin and six cthe. Tharmaceuticals in wastewater. Journal of Chromatography A 1556, 73–80.

Ogunbanwo, O.M., Kay, P., Boxall, A.B., Wilkinson, J., Sinclair, C.J., Shabi, R.A., Fasasi, A.E., Lewis, G.A., Amoda, O.A., Brown, L.E., 2020. High concentrations of pharmaceuticals in a Nigerian river catchment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 41, 551-558.

Onduka, T., Tanita, I., Yamada, H., 2022. Pollution status and primary risk assessment of eight pesticides in coastal waters around Ishigaki Island, Japan. Regional Studies in Marine Science 56, 102712.

Oosterhuis, M., Sacher, F., ter Laak, T.L., 2013. Prediction of concentration levels of metformin and other high consumption pharmaceuticals in wastewater and regional surface water based on sales data. Sci. Total Environ. 442, 380–388.

Patrolecco, L., Capri, S., De Angelis, S., Pagnotta, R., Polesello, S., Valsecchi, S., 2006. Partition of nonylphenol and related compounds among different aquatic compartments in Tiber River (Central Italy). Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 172, 151–166.

Pasupuleti, R.R., Ku, Y.-J., Tsai, T.-Y., Hua, H.-T., Lin, Y.-C., Shiea, J., Huang, P.-C., Andaluri, G., Kumar Ponnusamy, V., 2023. Novel fast pesticides extraction (FaPEx) strategy coupled with UHPLC-MS/ MS for rapid monitoring of emerging pollutant fipronil and its metabolite in food and environmental samples. Environmental Research 217, 114823.

Pignotti, E., Dinelli, E., 2018. Distribution and partition of endocrine disrupting compounds in water and sediment: Case study of the Romagna area (North Italy). Journal of Geochemical Exploration 195, 66–77.

Posselt, M., Jaeger, A., Schaper, J.L., Radke, M., Benskin, J.P., 2018. Determination of polar organic micropollutants in surface and pore water by high-resolution sam, ling-direct injection-ultra high performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Finy* on. Sci. Process. Impacts 20, 1716–1727.

Pozo, O.J., Guerrero, C., Sancho, J.V., Ibáñez, M., Pitarch, E., Hogondoorn, E., Hernández, F., 2006. Efficient approach for the reliable quantification and confirmation of antibiotics in water using on-line solid-phase extraction liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1103, 83– 93.

Rico, A., Arenas-Sánchez, A., Alonso-Alonso, C., López Heras, I., Nozal, L., Rivas-Tabares, D., Vighi, M., 2019. Identification of contaminants of concentrative upper Tagus river basin (central Spain). Part 1: screening, quantitative analysis and comparison of sampling methods, Sci. Total Environ. 666, 1058–1070.

Rösch, A., Beck, B., Hollender, J., Staran, C., Singer, H., Doppler, T., Junghans, M. 2019. Geringe Konzentrationen mit grosser Wirkung Norhweis von Pyrethroid- und Organophosphat-Insektiziden in Schweizer Bächen imp g/L-Bereich Actua & Gas 11, 54-66.

Rusconi, M., Patrolecco, L., Valserchi, S., Polesello, S., 2015. Effectiveness of measures adopted for the reduction of nonylphenol emission in European river basins: a case study of the River Lambro, Northern Italy. Water Policy 17, 1176 –1150.

Salvador, A., Moretton, C., Piram, A., Faure, R., 2007. On-line solid-phase extraction with on-support derivatization for high-sensitivity liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry of estrogens in influent/effluent of wastewater treatment plants. Journal of Chromatography A 1145, 102–109.

Solaun, O., Germán Rodríguez, J., Menchaca, I., López-García, E., Martínez, E., Zonja, B., Postigo, C., López de Alda, M., Barceló, D., Borja, A., Manzanos, A., Larreta, J., 2021. Contaminants of emerging concern in the Basque coast (N Spain): Occurrence and risk assessment for a better monitoring and management decisions. Science of the Total Environment 765, 142765.

Santos, V.S., Anjos, J.S.X., de Medeiros, J.F., Montagner, C.C., 2022. Impact of agricultural runoff and domestic sewage discharge on the spatial-temporal occurrence of emerging contaminants in an urban stream in São Paulo, Brazil. Environ. Monit. Assess. 194, 637.

Scheurer, M., Michel, A., Brauch, H.-J., Ruck, W., Sacher, F., 2012. Occurrence and fate of the antidiabetic drug metformin and its metabolite guanylurea in the environment and during drinking water treatment. Water Research 46, 4790-4802.

Shen, F., Xu, Y.-J., Wang, Y., Chen, J., Wang, S. 2022. Rapid and ultra-trace levels analysis of 33 antibiotics in water by on-line solid-phase extraction with ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1677, 463304.

Shi, H., Yang, Y., Liu, M., Yan, C., Yue, H., Zhou, J., 2014. Occurrence and distribution of antibiotics in the surface sediments of the Yangtze Estuary and nearby coastal areas. Marine Pollution Bulletin 83, 317-323.

Shi, L., Chen, L., Wan, Y., Zeng, H., Xia, W., 2020. Spatial variation of fipronil and its derivatives in tap water and ground water from China and the fate of them during drinking water treatment in Wuhan, central China. Chemosphere 251, 126385.

Shi, Y., Wan, Y., Wang, Y., Li, Y., Xu, S., Xia, W., 2023. Fipronil and its transformation products in the Yangtze River: Assessment for ecological risk and human exposite. Chemosphere 320, 138092.

Sousa, J.C.G., Ribeiro, A.R., Barbosa, M.O., Ribeiro, C., Tirit: n, κ¹.E., Pereira, M.F.R., Silva, A.M.T., 2019. Monitoring of the 17 EU Watch List contaminants of emergine concern in the Ave and the Sousa Rivers, Sci. Total Environ. 649, 1083–1095.

Svahn, O., Björklund, E., 2016. Increased etactospray ionization intensities and expanded chromatographic possibilities for emerging contart inauts using mobile phases of different pH. Journal of Chromatography B 1033, 128–137.

Tlili, I., Caria, G., Ouddane, B., Ghorbel-, bid, I., Ternane, R., Trabelsi-Ayadi, M., Net. S., 2016. Simultaneous detection of antibiotics ard otter drug residues in the dissolved and particulate phases of water by an off-line SPE combined v.m. on-line SPE-LC-MS/MS: Method development and application. Science of the Total Environment 5:53-564, 424–433.

Tomsikova, H., Aufartova, J., Solich, P., Sosa-Ferrera, Z., Santana-Rodriguez, J.J., Novakova, L., 2012. High-sensitivity analysis of female-steroid hormones in environmental samples. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 34, 35-58.

Tuc Dinh, Q., Alliot, F., Mor au-Guigon, E., Eurin, J., Chevreuil, M., Labadie, P., 2011. Measurement of trace levels of antibiotics in river water using on-line enrichment and triple-quadrupole LC–MS/MS, Talanta 85, 1238–1245.

Van Doorslaer, X., Dewulf, J., Van Langenhove, H., Demeestere, K., 2014. Fluoroquinolone antibiotics: An emerging class of environmental micropollutants. Science of the Total Environment 500–501, 250–269.

Vanderford, B.J., Mawhinney, D.B., Trenholm, R.A., Zeigler-Holady, J.C., Snyder, S.A., 2011. Assessment of sample preservation techniques for pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and steroids in surface and drinking water. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 399, 2227–2234.

Vignati, D.A.L., Valsecchi, S., Polesello, S., Patrolecco, L., Dominik, J., 2009. Pollutant partitioning for monitoring surface waters. Trends in Analytical Chemistry 28, 159-169.

Vulliet, E., Wiest, L., Baudot, R., Grenier-Loustalot, M.-F., 2008. Multi-residue analysis of steroids at subng/L levels in surface and ground-waters using liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. Journal of Chromatography A 1210, 84-91.

Wan, Y., Tran, T.M., Nguyen, V.T., Wang, A., Wang, J., Kannan, K., 2021. Neonicotinoids, fipronil, chlorpyrifos, carbendazim, chlorotriazines, chlorophenoxy herbicides, bentazon, and selected pesticide transformation products in surface water and drinking water from northern Vietnam. Science of the Total Environment 750, 141507.

Wang, G., Ma, P., Zhang, Q., Lewis, J., Lacey, M., Furukawa, Y., O'Reilly, S.E., Meaux, S., McLachlan, J., Zhang, S., 2012. Endocrine disrupting chemicals in New Orleans surface waters and Mississippi Sound sediments. Journal of Environmental Monitoring 14(5), 1353–64.

Wang, S., Ma, L., Chen, C., Li, Y., Wu, Y., Liu, Y., Dou, Z., Yamazaki, E., Yamoshita, N., Lin, B.-L., Wang, X., 2020. Occurrence and partitioning behavior of per- and polyfluoroall vl substances (PFASs) in water and sediment from the Jiulong Estuary-Xiamen Bay, China. Chemosphere 238, 124578.

Wang, S., Ding, G., Liu, Y., Dou, Z., Chen, H., Ya, M., Lin, X., L. Q., Li, Y., Wang, X., 2022. Legacy and emerging persistent organic pollutants in the marginal seas of C₁ ina: Occurrence and phase partitioning. Science of the Total Environment 827, 154274.

Wang, Y., Dong, X., Zang, J., Zhao, X., Jiang, F., Jian, '..., Xiong, C., Wang, N., Fu, C., 2023. Antibiotic residues of drinking-water and its human exposite that assessment in rural Eastern China. Water Research 236, 119940.

Williams, R.J., Churchley, J.H., Kanda, R., Johnson, A.C., 2012. Comparing predicted against measured steroid estrogen concentrations and the ansociated risk in two United Kingdom river catchments. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31 (4), 892–898.

Wilkinson, J.L., Hooda, P.S., Swinden, S., Barker, J., Barton, S. 2017. Spatial distribution of organic contaminants in three rivers of Southern England bound to suspended particulate material and dissolved in water. Science of the Intal Environment 593–594, 487–497.

Xu, R., Liu, S., Pan, Y.-F., Wu, K-N., Huang, Q.-Y., Li, H.-X., Lin, L., Hou, R., Xu, X.-R., Cheng, Y.-Y., 2024. Steroid metabolites as complexed emerging contaminants: Insights from multimedia partitioning and source–sink simulation in an estuarine environment. Journal of Hazardous Materials 461, 132673.

Yarahmadi, H., Duy, S.V., Hachad, M., Dorner, S., Sauvé, S., Prévost, M., 2018. Seasonal variations of steroid hormones released by wastewater treatment plants to river water and sediments: Distribution between particulate and dissolved phases. Science of the Total Environment 635, 144–155.

Zhou, Q., Liu, G., Arif, M., Shi, X., Wang, S. 2022. Occurrence and risk assessment of antibiotics in the surface water of Chaohu Lake and its tributaries in China. Science of the Total Environment 807, 151040.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information lists all participants of the workshops.

In addition, it contains statistical analytical results summaries over the years 2015-2021 for the WL substances EE2, amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, and metaflumizone and information on PNEC exceedances.

Declaration of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

CRediT author statement

Teresa Lettieri: Conceptualization; Robert Loos, Silwan Daouk, Livia Gómez, Elena Porcel-

Rodríguez, Isabella Sanseverino, Dimitar Marinov, Teresa Lettieri: Writing - Original Draft;

All co-authors: Investigation; Elena Porcel-Rodríguez, Isab Va Sanseverino and Teresa

Lettieri: Visualization; All co-authors.: Writing - review & edit ng.

(EE2). LOD, Limit of Detection.				
Extraction, clean-up	Water volume (L)	Data.tir n	LOD(Q) (ng/L)	Reference
LLE; clean-up with alumina/florisil	1	HRGC/HRMS	MDL: 0.1	EPA 1698, 2007
		(derivatization)		
On line SPE derivatization	1 ml	LC MS MS	0.7	Salvador ot al

Table 1: Analytical methods (extraction,	clean-up, detertion, L	.OD(Q)) for 17-alpha-ethinylestra	diol
(EE2). LOD, Limit of Detection.			

		(derivatization)		
On-line SPE derivatization	1 mL	LC-MS-MS	0.7	Salvador et al., 2007
SPE; clean-up with florisil	L.75	LC-MS-MS	LOQ: 0.22	Matejicek and
	5	(derivatization)		Kuban, 2008
SPE	1	LC-MS-MS	MDL: 0.2	Vulliet et al., 2008
SPE	2	GC-MS-MS	0.3	Grover et al., 2009
		(derivatization)		
		LC-MS-MS	0.4	
C18 speedisks	50 μL	LC-MS-MS	0.91	Lien et al., 2009
		(derivatization)		
SPE; clean-up with florisil	0.25	LC-MS-MS	LOQ: 1.2	Miège et al., 2009
SPE; clean-up with florisil	2	LC-MS-MS	0.10	Chang et al., 2011
SPE disks (C18 + SDB); silica clean-	4	GC-MS	1.64	Wang et al., 2012
up		(derivatization)		

SPE (SDB); clean-up with GPC	1-2	LC-MS-MS	0.05	Williams et al., 2012
SPE disks (C18); clean-up with florisil	0.5	GC-MS-MS (derivatization)	0.8	Alvarez et al., 2013
SPE (Oasis HLB 200mg); silica gel column clean-up; pH3	2	LC-MS-MS	0.18	Li et al., 2013
On-line SPE	5 mL	LC-MS-MS	0.47	Esteban et al., 2014
On-line SPE	5 mL	LC-MS-MS	0.035; LOQ: 0.115	Čelić et al., 2017
SPE; aminopropyl SPE clean-up	Not given	LC-MS/MS	0	Lardy-Fontan et al., 2018
LLE with hexane	0.01	LC-MS/MS	0.4	Chang et al., 2018
SPE	0.5	LC-MS/MS	0.8; LOQ: 1.7	Pignotti and Dinelli, 2018
SPE	0.5	GC-້າວ (c'ari, atication)	14 ; LOQ: 40	Sousa et al., 2019
On-line SPE	0.01	'.C-וג'S/MS	0.34; LOQ: 1.13	Gusmaroli et al., 2019
On-line SPE	0.01	LC-MS/MS	1.4; LOQ: 4.2	Goeury et al., 2019
SPE and on-line SPE (two-step)	1	LC-MS/MS	LOQ: 0.035	Barreca et al., 2019
SPE	1	LC-MS/MS	0.6	Deich et al., 2021
On-line SPE	0.05	LC-MS/MS	0.116	Solaun et al., 2021
On-line SPE	0.02	LC-MS/MS	0.5-5	Ng et al., 2021
SPE disks (C18); clean-up with Lc- NH ₂ SPE (500 mg)	1	LC-MS/MS (derivatization)	LOQ: 0.035	Mirmont et al., 2021
SPE (SolEx HRPHS 200mg); silica SPE clean-up	0.5	LC-MS/MS	0.5; LOQ: 1.5	Goeury et al., 2022a

Graphical abstract

DISCUSSION POINTS ON WATCH LIST SUBSTANCES

Analytical methods Limit of Quantification (LOQ) Matrix: whole water or dissolved water phase

Graphics Abstract