# THYSANOPTERA

# MORPHOLOGICAL IDENTIFICATION OF ADULTS AND LARVAE, WITH FOCUS ON THRIPS PALMI KARNY, 1925



## MAIN DOCUMENT

Training session

Montpellier, 20-21th October 2022

Philippe Reynaud & Pascal Rousse Anses-EURL insects & mites



# **CONTENT**



### Introduction

We know to date about 6400 species in Thysanoptera. It makes it a rather small order when compared to the major Coleoptera, Diptera, Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, Hemiptera<sup>1</sup>... More, thrips go often unnoticed because of their tiny size and their lifestyle, crawling on or within vegetation. But this relative discretion is inversely correlated with their economic importance, since Thysanoptera also include very harmful phytophagous pests that cause millions dollars of losses in agricultural products and related human tragedies (Agroinsurance 2022).

This overview of the order is an introduction to the training session devoted to the morphological identification of Thysanoptera. It quickly presents various aspects of their biology, ecology and taxonomy. Noticeably, it cannot give a complete glance of the large diversity exhibited by Thysanoptera. Most especially, they present a large panel of behaviors, including complex ones as lekking, egg-mass defense, parental care, sociality and even eusociality). Readers may refer to the resources listed in the final section for further details. If not otherwise specified, the provided information is extracted from Lawrence Mound's general publications (Mound 2003, Mound 2005, Mound & Morris 2007, Mound 2018, Mound et al. 2022).

#### Taxonomy

The order is clearly defined from an unique synapomorphy: all thrips have a single developed mandible, the left one (Fig. 1), the right one being resorbed during embryogenesis. The traditional taxonomy of Thysanoptera is based on Mound et al. 1980's classification. It is still commonly accepted and used, since others discussed propositions exist (Bhatti 1988, Zherikin 2002). This classification divides the order into Tubulifera and Terebrantia.

#### Sub-order Tubulifera

-

The suborder includes a single family, the Phlaeothripidae. However, it is clearly polyphyletic, containing for example the large wastebasket genus Haplothrips. Actually, this sub-order received far less attention, because it contains very few species of any economic importance. Actually, the family gathers about 3 600 species, of which around 60% feed on fungi on dead leaves or branches. It also contains some impressive of co-evolutions and insect-plant interactions, as are the about 200 Phlaeothripinae species related to the genus Acacia in Australia.

<sup>1</sup> about 380K, 150K, 130K, 160K and 100K described species, respectively Wikipedia (2022) Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia.



Table 1. Taxonomical summary of the Thysanoptera order (Mound & Morris 2007, updated with ThripsWiki 2022 data).

#### Sub-order Terebrantia

This second suborder is slightly smaller, containing about 2800 species (Table 1). However, it was much more studied because 95% of these species are related to plants, of which many are cultivated. Hence Terebrantia contains nearly all the pest thrips of economic importance.

Terebrantia are subdivided into eight families. The largest one, Thripidae, includes the most famous pests, with some large genera like Thrips (301 species), Frankliniella (236 species), Scirtothrips (106 species)… (ThripsWiki 2022). A second family, Aeolothripidae, contains a bit more than 200 species. They are predators, though many of them may also feed on plant tissues. The six remaining minor families gather less than 200 species.

#### Economic importance Some beneficials

Though largely reputed as pests, thrips may also have a beneficial impact in agriculture. First, many species are predators, as are the entire Aeolothripidae family. Their preys are mites or other thrips species. However, there are very few obligate predators. Most of predator species are opportunistic so, i.e. they may feed on both animal or plant tissue depending on the immediate environment. Noticeably, some well-known pests as Thrips palmi or Frankliniella spp. may shift to leaf mites.

Another aspect that is most often overlooked is their role in pollination. Their interference with bees' activity has been acknowledged since a long time, but thrips had been for long



Figure 1. Heads of adult Thysanoptera (ventral aspect). 1.1: Merothrips williamsi (Merothripidae); 1.2: Melanthrips juscus (Aeolothripidae); 1.3: Haplothrips verbasci (Phlaeothripidae); 1.4: Chilochripspini (Thripidae) (Mound et al. 1980).

considered as too minute to carry a significant quantity of pollen when visiting flowers. Some species have also been reported as feeding on pollen grains. However, quantitative experiments showed that on the contrary they may transport large amounts because of their high number. More, some facts support the hypothesis that Thysanoptera were the earliest pollinating insects, and increasing numbers of plant species are shown to be associated with a given thrips species. Actually, their importance in pollination is still ill-understood but more and more evidences show that it should not been underestimated.

#### Mostly phytophagous pests

On the other hand, Thysanoptera are of course more famous as crop pests. In most cases, this pest status however largely depends on local conditions (Table 2). As mentioned above, many species behave as opportunistic predators on other arthropods. Most of recorded "pests" are also only locally or punctually acknowledged as such, i.e. having a significant negative impact on yield value. In some cases, finally, the damaging impact of a given species seems to have been artificially though incidentally selected. That is the example of F. occidentalis that spread in late 70's from its original distribution area to become a major crop pest: the now worldwide insecticide resistant strain(s) has putatively been selected by intensive chemical protection in horticulture (Kirk & Terry 2003).

Monophagous species rarely pose problems, Liothrips karnyi (Phlaeothripidae) being the exception. Thrips that are serious crop pests are usually highly adaptable and polyphagous species. This adaptability is reflected not only in their capacity to feed on various sources, but also in variation in length of larval life, body size at pupation, pupation site, and threshold temperatures for development. In substance, significant pests belong in majority to the Thripinae, a subfamily that gath-



Figure 2. Feeding damage. (A) Biltothrips minutus markings on leaf of Taro (Colocasia esculenta). (B) Thrips palmi damage to aubergine crop (Solanum melongena). (C) Dendrothrips ornatus on Ligustrum leaf. (D) Damage to Capsicum fruits by Franklliniella occidentalis and Orthotospovirus. (E) Surface damage to grapes (Vitis vinifera) by Scirtothrips dorsalis (Mound et al. 2022).

ers also nearly all the virusvectors (Table 2).

Thysanoptera may first cause direct damages when feeding or ovipositing on plants (Fig. 2). The value of a nectarine can be seriously reduced through a single thrips larva feeding on the fruit when it is young. Cucumbers, capsicums, and strawberries are badly distorted at times because of the feeding activity of thrips. Roses, carnations, and chrysanthemum flowers can be devalued through thrips feeding damage, and table grapes burst and become fungal-infected through thrips oviposition scars.

But the main damages are caused by Orthotospovirus vectors. Currently, 30 species and one genus of Tospoviridae (Bunyavirales) are recognized. The genus is almost exclusively vectored by 15 thrips species, of which 14 are Thripinae members. They acquire the virus during larval stage only, when feeding on an infested plant. Noticeably, these vectors are not closely related, suggesting that the association of thrips and Orthotospovirus has arisen independently at different times and places. Among the most damaging Orthotospovirus one may cite the Tomato spotted wilt orthotospovirus (TSWV), Soybean vein necrosis orthotospovirus (SVNV), or Impatiens necrotic spot orthotospovirus (INSV). The only available management method against them is prophylaxy, *i.e.* vector suppression and infected plants removal.

#### Bio-ecology

#### Reproduction.

Thysanoptera have a biological cycle intermediate between hemi- and the holometabolous: larvae pretty different from imagoes, and pupae separate both, but juveniles and adults share a similar ecology. Two larval stages occur, followed a prepupal and a pupal stages. A second pupal stage is also differentiated in Phlaeothripidae. The morphology of all stages is detailed in the dedicated section.

As a rule, Thysanoptera are haplo-diploid, *i.e.* males are haploid and are produced by unfertilized ovocyts whereas diploid females hatch only from eggs. Further, thelytokous parthenogenesis (production of females without fecundation) has been observed in some species and is apparently linked to Wolbachia incidence (Arakaki et al. 2001). Finally, besides commonest oviparity, some cases of near ovo-viviparity are known in the larger Idolothripinae, fertilized eggs starting their development within female abdomen and hatching soon after being laid.

#### Feeding

As mentioned above, a rough half of thrips feed on fungi developing on dead plant tissues. Most of the remaining ones feed on plants, half on flowers and the other half on leaves. Finally, a few are obligate predators. The feeding behavior is similar whatever the ecology: the host surface is pierced using the functional mandible, then the feeding tube is inserted in the resulting hole. Saliva is then injected through the tube and the resulting mixture of saliva and host tissues is pumped back. If present, viruses are transmitted to the plant at this stage.

Throughout Thysanoptera, host-specificity range from strictly monophagous to highly polyphagous species. As an example, Thrips obscuratus, have been found on at least 225 plant species in 78 plant families. However, this has to been put in perspective by complementary observations. First, some monophagous species apparently have different host associations in different parts of their geographic range: Apterothrips apteris is restricted to Erigeron in California but is a minor pest of Medicago and Allium in Australia. Similarly, Sericothrips gracilicornis is specific to Vicia cracca (Fabaceae) in northern Europe but damages the foliage of Pinus in Spain and Italy, although the southern European reports do not indicate if this thrips is also present on Vicia.



### Table 2. Thripidae species (by subfamily) impacting on human crop productivity (Mound et al. 2022).

1 Local or minor pest; 2 Frequently a pest; 3 Orthotospovirus vector.

Moreover, even in polyphagous species the ease of transfer between hosts is sometimes asymmetric, such that populations of T. tabaci in Greece transferred successfully from various plants onto leeks, but populations on leeks would not transfer to tobacco. Finally, from polyphagous species may appear specialized strains. This appears to be so in Scirtothrips aurantii, the highly polyphagous South African citrus thrips that was found in Queensland, Australia, in 2002 but restricted almost entirely to Bryophyllum and a few other Crassulaceae.

#### Natural enemies

Several groups of organisms are now recognized as useful natural enemies against pest thrips: mites and anthocorid bugs (predators), eulophid hymenopteras (parasitoids), and nematodes and fungi (pathogens) (Loomans 2003). Thus, inundative releases combining Amblyseius with Orius, or Hypoaspis with nematodes, are sometimes used to reduced population of F. occidentalis in greenhouses. Odors produced by plants and by predators can determine the behavior of thrips. There are also extensive interactions between thrips and other small arthropods. When Orius bugs that have fed on thrips are present, F. occidentalis larvae shelter on leaves under tetranychid mite webbing, and preferentially attacks the eggs of a predatory mite rather than the eggs of a relatively harmless mite. Conversely, leaves that have been infested by F. occidentalis or mites attract Orius laevigatus.

Global effect of these predators on thrips populations is however hardly assessed. Worldwide there are many records of thrips predators but few analyses of the effect of such predators on natural thrips populations. Parasitic nematodes are widely recorded, although natural populations of few thrips species have been examined. Similarly, despite the literature on the importance of mites as predators in greenhouses, there is little attempt to assess the effect of mites on natural populations, although erythraeids are common on Terebrantia and tarsonemids are common on many larger Tubulifera. Finally, let mention that not only invertebrates prey on thrips: a few minutes watching birds or lizards are also known to do, although any effects remain unrecorded.

### Slide preparation

The following chapters are based on Mound & Kibby (1998) and EPPO standards (EPPO 2002, EPPO 2018) methodologies. Identification of living thrips is usually impossible, except within a local fauna that is well studied. The existence, in many common species, of light and dark forms, often associated with considerable differences in body size both within and between sexes, usually necessitates careful collection and preparation of specimens on microscope slides, with the minimum of distortion or damage. Techniques for slide mounting are best considered under two headings: those appropriate for routine identifications, and those required by taxonomic research for reference purposes.

#### Collection and conservation

The best method of collecting thrips, apart from hand picking individuals from plants using a small brush, is to beat vegetation over a small plastic tray, using a narrow-bladed trowel or heavy knife. Individual plants, even single flowers or leaves, can be beaten, the precise position of the thrips located, and the plants then hand searched more effectively. They can thus be removed with a fine brush into collecting vials. In another method, plant parts may be stored for 24h in sealed plastic bags with filter paper to absorb moisture. Thrips will drop from the plant and may then be collected in the bag. Finally, Berlèse funnel may be used to deal with vegetal material as flowers, herbs or even dead branches.

#### Table 3. AGA, Hoyer and Faure's fluid preparations



The best fluid to collect into is AGA fluid (Table 3). This mixture helps to distend the body of most thrips and keeps the limbs supple. However, several laboratories have reported that AGA may act to denature the DNA of the thrips thereby hindering any subsequent molecular work. An alternative is to use non-mixed ethanol. 10% ethanol (added with wetting agent) is a good option for short conservation periods, stronger alcohols quickly make the thrips contract and become very rigid. However, 70- 95% ethanol is better recommended if specimens are to be used for molecular studies. However, in this case specimens should be stored in the freezer until used, or they may prove difficult to slide mount. Specimens that are otherwise to be stored must be transferred to 60% alcohol and kept in the dark, preferably at temperatures well below 0°C, to prevent loss of color.

#### Temporary slide preparation (routine identifications)

The following method is rapid and thus relatively inexpensive. It allows a quick examination of both larvae and adults during routine identification work. Such slides are however not permanent and cannot be kept as references in collection. Specimens can be manipulated with precision brush or fine

micro-pins, e.g. minuten pins mounted on broach holder. It is often useful to bend the apex of one of these pins slightly. A simple lifting tool to move specimens from one dish to another can be made from a small loop of fine wire (Figs 3, 4).

Remove the specimens from the collecting fluid into clean 70% alcohol. Place a drop of 30-85% lactic acid on a slide. Then transfer the specimens into the drop, dorsal side uppermost. If the specimens are reasonably flexible, attempt to open the wings and straighten the antennae using micro-pins. Cover the drop with the coverslip. Place immediately into an oven or on an heating plate at 35- 40°C. Actually, specimens often collapse initially but then recover slowly when heated. Leave for 6 hours before attempting to study.



Figure 3. Mounting material. A: brush and micro-pins; B: ethanol (10%, 70% and 95% needed); C: 30% lactic acid; D: 0.1M KOH (NaOH also convenient); E: lavander oil; F: Canada balsam; G: microscope slides: H: centering template made from microscope slide: I: 12mm diameter rounded cover slips; J: 20x20mm square cover slips.

#### Permanent slide preparation (archiving and taxonomic research)

The idealized objective is to prepare specimens on to slides with their shape and color retained in a condition as close as possible to the natural, living state but with the body cleared so that surface detail is visible. Since this is rarely possible, a compromise is essential. A few specimens should be prepared for study without maceration in order to preserve their natural coloration, but the remainder should be macerated gently to reveal fine details of body sculpture and minute setae. Note that this preparation is not adapted for larvae that could collapse in drying balsam. See the next chapter for larvae.

#### Table 4. Permanent mounting: procedure summary



Schematically, the whole method is subdivided into four steps: piercing, maceration, dehydration and mounting (Table 4). Piercing is essential to expel most of internal body content. While maintaining the specimen with a first micro-pin, puncture the abdomen laterally with a second one, and the thorax ventrally between meso-coxae. Subsequently, gently massage the specimen to expel body content through the holes.

The objective of maceration is to light the specimens by further removing the body contents. This is done by soaking the specimens in a weak alkaline solution (0.1M KOH or NaOH) for an appropriate period: half an hour for pale specimens, longer for larger or darker specimens. The length of the period

of treatment must be determined by experiment. A tip is to regularly observe the specimens and carry on to the further step when eyes start to discolor. Beware that a too long exposure may damage specimens. Maceration should always be carried out at room temperature; heating causes damage to setae and the body surface.

Transfer the specimens to 10% ethanol added with wetting agent. They may be left overnight. Another massage may help to expel organic remnants. Then, to 70% ethanol for at least one hour. This step may last several days as well. Finally, transfer them to 95% ethanol for 5-10 minutes.



Figure 4. Close view of tool tips. From left to right: precision brush, mounted minuten pin, nylon wire fragment (fishing line) with tip flat crushed.

After the final dehydration step, transfer the specimens to lavender oil for 5-10 minutes. It enables the transition to Canada balsam, because alcohol is not soluble into this latter. At this stage, it is recommended to spread the wings, legs and antennae. To facilitate the final mounting it is best first to prepare a small mounting block. This is done by marking the center of microscope slide with crossed lines from angles, providing a template. Use the template to locate the center of another slide, and put a drop of Canada balsam on it. The drop must be sufficient to avoid crushing the thrips. Transfer a single thrips specimen in the drop, dorsal side uppermost. Spread the legs and wings, and straighten the antennae by pressing on the basal segments with a fine needle. Then, place a clean 10-14mm diameter cover slip on the balsam drop, over the thrips, and gently press on so that the balsam spread over the whole circular surface. Exceeding balsam, if any, may be wiped out with lavender oil, but beware not to move the specimen. Then dry the slides until they are hard in an oven at about 40°C for several weeks. The quantity of balsam must be sufficient - after it has dried - to support the coverslip without distorting the specimen.

#### Semi-permanent mounting (long-term conservation of larvae)

An intermediate methodology is relevant for long-term conservation of larvae. Though not as durable as the permanent one using Canada balsam, it will preserve larvae in good conditions for years. In that process, transfer directly the larva into a drop of Hoyer or Faure fluid (Table 4), centered on a microscope slide. Then, place a clean 10-14mm diameter cover slip on the drop and press gently, as for permanent mounting. The difference here is that the circumference of the cover slip must be sealed hermetically. Several hardening fluid may be used for that purpose (e.g. nail polish).

#### Labelling

With the head of the thrips directed toward you (because microscope invert the image), the right hand label should indicate the host plant, followed by the country (in capital letters) and then the locality and date, with collector's name (and code number). The left hand label should indicate the sex, morph and genus and species names with author, with sufficient room left for any special notes to be added about that particular specimen e.g. measurements…

# **Morphology**

#### **Generalities**

Thrips are, as a rule, tiny insects. Their size range from 0,5 to 15mm. They are all characterized by a synapomorphic (i.e. derived shared) trait, the presence of the sole left developed mandible. Consequently the ventral surface of head is asymmetrical. The maxillary stylets are coapted in a feeding tube emerging through mouth cone (Fig. 5). This tube is similar in all species, but its length is very variable: in some extreme cases, the stylets are longer than the whole body and thus retracted into coils within head at rest.



Adults bear a pair of antennae commonly with seven or eight segments, although the plesiomorphic (or ancestral) number is presumably nine, and various species have segments fused to produce lower numbers. Paired compound eyes are always present but reduced to a tenth of onomatidies in some species. Ocelli are also generally present though absent in many apterous adults.

Figure 5. Lower head (profile). Detail of exerted mouthparts (after Palmer et al. 1989).

Other body parts also bear features that are of great importance for generic and/or specific identification. The pronotum commonly has a specific regular number of major setae. The legs of adults lack typical insect tarsal claws, but each tarsus has an

eversible bladder-like arolium. Finally, the abdomen is invariably composed of 10 segments.

Sexual dimorphism is common in the whole order. Besides the primary sexual organs, it is also often marked on wing development. Macropterous, brachypterous or apterous forms actually occur. But this intra-specific variability is not restricted to sexual dimorphism, since numerous species are variable for

this aspect within a given sex. Morphological differences between forms is then usually not restricted to wing length (Fig. 6).

The present chapters focus mostly on Terebrantia morphology. Tubulifera are actually far less common in agricultural plant health activities. Both sub-orders are first distinguished by the structure of the 10<sup>th</sup> abdominal segment, especially in females, and the wings (Figs 7, 16).

#### Suborders distinction

Tubulifera wings (when present) lack longitudinal veins, have a smooth surface without microtrichiae,



Figure 6. Kladothrips sterni (Phlaeothripidae). Left: apterous female; right: winged female (Mound, 2018).

and bear nonarticulating fringing cilia that insert directly into the wing membrane. The abdominal tergites of these species bear one or two pairs of sigmoid wing-holding setae, under which the wings lie flat on top of each other when at rest. Moreover, the 10th abdominal segment is tubular, with the anus terminal but the genital opening at the base of the tube, the female's ovipositor being an eversible, chute-like, structure (Fig. 17). In Terebrantia, forewings (when present) have two longitudinal veins, the wing surface is covered in microtrichia, and the fringing cilia are inserted into sockets. Wingholding mechanisms vary considerably between species. The 10th abdominal segment is incomplete ventrally, and the ovipositor comprises four saw-like blades (Figs 17, 18).



Figure 7. Terebrantia general morphology (Frankliniella sp.) (after Palmer et al. 1989).

#### Adults

This chapter lists the detailed terminology of the structures used for the morphological identification of adult Terebrantia thrips. Illustrations are extracted from Mound and Kibby (1998) and zur Strassen (2003).

The general body, as described in generalities, is shown in Fig. 6. The different parts and the associated chaetotaxy enable family, genus and/or species identification. Details are thereafter provided, precising the structures affected by inter- or intra-specific variability. Note that setae are numbered. Generally, 1 is attributed to the center-most pair. Ocellar setae are the exception: the pair 1 is the most forward one, the inter-ocellar pair being thus numbered either 2 or 3 (Figure 8). For thorax and abdomen, setae are called either marginal (main setae near segment margins), or discal (secondary, usually smaller setae, on segment disc).

#### Head

Antennal segments bear sense organ that are characteristic for the family or the genus. Most important is the shape of these organs on segments 3 and 4 (Fig. 9). Typically, Thripidae are defined by the presence of long and slender sense cones that may be simple or forked (Fig. 10). Second, the number and position of ocellar setae vary be-



Figure 8. Head (dorsal). Chaetotaxy (Frankliniella occidentalis) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

tween genera and species (Fig. 11). Other discriminant features may be observed in the length of stylets, of genae, number and length of post-ocular setae, shape of eyes, and forward protrusion of head between antennae...



Figure 9. Antennal articles 3-4. Variation in shape of antennal sensoriae (arrows) in non-Thripidae families. From left to right: linear, elongate and longitudinal (Aeolothrips propinquus (Aeolothripidae)); linear, elongate and oblique (Melanthrips paspalevi (Aeolothripidae)); linear, elongate and transverse (Ropotamothrips ressli (Fauriellidae)); stout and cone-like (Holarthrothrips tenuicornis (Stenurothripidae)) (after zur Strassen, 2003).



Figure 10. Thripidae antennal articles 3-4. Variation in shape of slender sense cone. Left: simple (Bolacothrips jordani); right: forked (Thrips meridionalis) (after zur Strassen, 2003).



Figure 11. Schematic representation of ocelli showing variation in ocellar setae 3 position. From left to right: outside ocellar triangle; within ocellar triangle, on ocellar triangle margin, between posterior ocelli.

#### Thorax

The chaetotaxy of pronotum, most especially the number and length of antero- and postero-angular setae (Fig. 12), are of great importance. Pronotal sculpture is also sometimes used as discriminators. Further, the metanotum harbors a specific sculpture (Fig. 13). The mesonotum is rarely considered in the keys. On metanotum, species are also defined by the presence/absence of campaniform sensillae appearing under microscope as round pores. They may be isolated or paired, but this may be affected



Figure 12. Pronotum (dorsal). Chaetotaxy (Frankliniella intonsa) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

by intra-specific variability. Finally, the relative position of setae in regards of the anterior margin is sometimes a specific feature.



Figure 13. Variations in sculpture in metanotum (dorsal). A: densely reticulate medially, laterally striate (Thrips simplex); B: densely striate, striations converging posteriorly (Thrips palmi); C: loosely striate medially, laterally striate (Thrips nigropilosus); D: antero-medially transversely striate, postero-medially reticulate, laterally striate (Thrips hawaiiensis). Arrows indicate the campaniform sensilla (if present),  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  indicate the bases of setae 1 et 2 to point out their relative position to the anterior margin (after zur Strassen, 2003).

Ventral features are also used, as is the shape and structure of the furcae (internal muscular attachment points) on meso- and metathorax (Fig. 14). A few genera exhibit a long lyre-shaped metathoracic furca. For most genera the trait to be observed is the presence/absence of a median spinula on both furcae.

On legs, one may observe the presence/absence of tarsal or tibial teeth, or tubercles (Fig. 15). Here again, this may vary within a given species (Fig. 6). Femurs and tibiae may bear setae of uncommon length and stoutness of generic importance.



Figure 14. Meso- and metasternum (ventral). Variations in shapes of furcae (darkened). From left to right: both furcae with spinula (arrows) (Mycterothrips sp.); metathoracic furca without spinula (Ceratothripoides sp.); metathoracic furca without spinula, elongate and lyre-shaped (Dendrothrips sp.) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

One of the most important structure for the identification of adult Thysanoptera is the forewing, fairly different between families and even subfamilies (Fig. 16). Forewings may be fully developed (macropterous), somewhat reduced (hemi-macropterous), reduced to stubs (brachypterous), or totally absent

(apterous). This may be variable within a species and related to sexual dimorphism, or even within a given sex. It owns a postero-basal subdivision named clavus. Venation occurs only in Terebrantia: it is often hardly visible directly but may be deduced by the alignment of vein setae. Beside occasional short transverse veins, venation is reduced to one forked longitudinal vein that subdivides near basal third of the wing into a main (anterior) and a secondary veins. The precise number of setae on these veins is often an important feature, though it may slightly vary within a species. More, the presence/absence of a gap in the setae line is generically discriminant: for example Frankliniella spp. have a continuous line while in Thrips spp. one may distinguish a group of apical setae separated from the basal ones by a gap devoid of setae. Finally are sometimes helpful the general wing shape, as well as the length and shape of fringing setae along wing margins.



Figure 15. Variations in fore tibia and tarsus (left: Odontothrips confusus; right: O. biuncus) (after zur Strassen, 2003).



Figure 16. Variations in forewing. A: Phlaeothrips coriaceus (Tubulifera, Phlaeothripidae); B: Aeolothrips intermedius (Terebrantia, Aeolothripidae); C: Hercinothrips bicinctus (Terebrantia, Thripidae, Panchaeothripinae); D: Thrips angusticeps (Terebrantia, Thripidae, Thripinae) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

#### Abdomen

The first features to be observed on abdomen are the genitalia, useful for the sub-order (Fig. 17) and of course sex determinations. Terebrantia females have a sickleshaped and toothed ovipositor, upor down-curved (Fig. 18). Males usually harbor area porosa (porous secretory organs) on sternites, which may be of variable numbers and shape and enable specific identification (Fig. 19).



Several other abdominal structures are used in keys. First, the pres-

Figure 17. Detail of abdomen tip (dorsal) showing female genitalia. Left: Tubulifera (Phlaeothrips sp.); right: Terbebrantia (Anaphothrips sp.) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

ence, location and numbers of setae, on sternites and tergites: the presence of discal setae on sternites enables for example an early segregation in Thrips spp. keys. Second, the presence or absence of microtrichiae. Pleurotergites, i.e. the lateral parts of tergites, are noticeable for this respect: for example,



Figure 18. Ovipositor (arrows) in Terbrantia (profile). Left: Aeolothripidae; right: Thripidae (Mound & Kibby, 1998).

Thrips tabaci and a few other Thrips species are characterized by the presence of dense microtrichiae on pleurotergites, giving them a rough aspect under microscope (Fig. 20). Many species also have craspedae on tergites, i.e. a sclerotized expansion of their posterior margin (Fig. 21). The tergite 8 is of peculiar importance to check. It harbors several specific structures, as a posterior comb of variable aspect, large spiracles, and often (in Thripidae), a pair of ctenidia that are most



Figure 19. Male sternites detail, showing areae porosae. A: Thrips vulgatissimus (sternites 3-7); B: Thrips validus (sternite 5); C: Thrips dilatus (sternite 5); D: Thrips oneillae (sternite 3); Euchaetothrips kroli(sternites 3-5); F: Idolimothrips paradoxus (sternite 4); G: Ceratothrips ericae (sternite 5); H: Hydatothrips boerhaaviae (sternites 6-7) (after zur Strassen 2003).

often also present on previous tergites (Fig. 22). When present, the relative position of this ctenidium in regards of the spiracle is a critical feature to differentiate Thrips from Frankliniella. Finally, some other features are commonly considered, like the presence and position of campaniform sensillae on tergite 9, the number of lateral setae on tergite 2...





Figure 20. Detail of lateral part of abdominal segment showing pleurotergite (arrow). Left: pleurotergite without microtrichiae (Thrips minutissimus), right: with dense cover of microtrichiae (Thrips tabaci) (after zur Strassen 2003).

Figure 21. Detail of tergites showing variations in craspeda (arrows) expansion. A: Parascolothrips priesneri (tergite 5); B: Stenchaetothrips biformis (tergite 4); C: Hemianaphothrips articulosus (tergite 7); D: Thrips sambuci (tergite 8) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

#### Color

Color is often of limited utility in thrips determination, because it is intra-specifically variable within a given range, and because the total range of colors found in Thysanoptera is rather limited: the commonest color palette range from pale yellow to testaceous brown, sometimes added with grey, white, black or red. More, the lightening of specimens with KOH for slide-mounting removes most of natural coloration, making them merely appears as more or less dark during microscope examination. However, a general pale or dark habitus is often helpful because it is easy to notice, and thus often used in key. More, the color of given parts, especially the antennae and tergites, is commonly used as a final specific criterion.



Figure 22. Detail of tergite 8 (dorsal) (Thrips palmi) (after zur Strassen, 2003).

#### Larvae

The identification of immature stages is pretty more difficult than adults. Vierbergen (2010) is one of the few publications dedicated to this purpose, and by far the most complete in European area. It covers 160 species of Thripidae reported in Europe, including invasive ones. Thripidae larvae I and non-Thripidae larvae II are identifiable to the family level, pupal stages are not.

When identifying a larva, the first thing to ensure is thus that the specimen is actually a second instar larva of Thripidae (Fig. 23). Larvae do not have wing pads, and slenderer antennae than pupae. Family and sub-family are readily determined by the examination of apical antennal segments (Figs 25D, 26). The first and second larval instars are firstly differentiated by chaetotaxy: larva I has six pairs of setae on pronotum, and a single one on abdominal sternites, while larva II has seven and three, respectively (Fig. 24).



Figure 23. Second instar larva of Frankliniella occidentalis (Vierbergen et al. 2010).

#### Discriminant features in Thripidae larva II

The specific identification of second instar larvae strongly rely on details that are hardly visible under direct light. It therefore requires microscope equipped with phase contrast, or, better, interference contrast with polarized light.

Larvae offer less diagnostic characters than adults for identification, though this may also be artifactual since they received less attention from taxonomists. Chaetotaxy is of first importance. On abdomen, setae are numbered from middle outwards, as in adults. On head and thorax, the orientation is less visible and the numbering follows a fixed order (Fig. 25A). Setae may have various shapes, from acutely pointed to apically expanded (Fig. 26). Their length, absolute or relative, is also a commonly used cue.

Another critical discriminant feature is the examination of plates that covers the body, especially on abdomen. These may be either small, rounded, appearing as scattered dark spots, or rectangular and arranged into transverse rows (Fig. 27). Furthermore, the rectangular

plates may bear, or not, apical microtrichiae. If so, they appear as pointed (Fig. 29).

The presence of microtrichiae on plates is sometimes easier to assess in profile view, by observing the plates located on lateral margins of tergites.

The tergites 2 and 8 harbor or not a pair of spiracles (Fig. 25B). Their presence and shape are characteristic. Finally, the tergite 9 is of peculiar importance here (Fig. 25C). It bears a pair of campaniform sensillae whose distance from each other is compared to the distance between  $S_1$  setae. The posterior margin of that tergite also bears teeth of variable stoutness. Also, it is more or less sclerotized, sclerotization appearing under microscope as a transverse darkening (Fig. 30).



Figure 25. Chaetotaxy and indication of morphological structure of importance in larvae II identification. Sx: setae; Tx: tergites; Ax: antennal segments. A: chaetotaxy of head and pronotum (Thrips vulgatissimus); B: detail of tergite 2 showing spiracles (arrows); C: cle (4); chaetotaxy of abdominal tergites 9 and 10, showing campaniform sensillae (CS), posterior sclerotization of tergite 9 (Scl) and postero-marginal teeth (PMT) (Pezothrips dianthi); D: antennal segments 3-7 showing sense cone (arrow) (after Vierbergen et al. 2010).



Figure 26. Detail of antenna, showing the elongation and relative lengths of apical articles. Left: article 5 as long as 4 (Haplothrips gowdei, Phlaeothripidae); middle: article 5 less than half length of 4, apical article more than 7x longer than basally wide (Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis, Thripidae Panchaetothripinae); right: article 5 less than half length of 4, apical article less than 4x longer than basally wide (Pseudodendrothrips mori, Thripidae Thripinae) (after Vierbergen et al. 2010, and Ulitzka 2022).



Figure 27. Types of setae (interference microscopy). A: flagelliform (Euchaetothrips kroli); B: pointed (Taeniothrips inconsequens); C: acute (Thrips angusticeps); D: blunt (Thrips conferticornis); E: acute (Thrips major); F: expanded (Anaphothrips obscurus); G: fringed (Echinothrips americanus); H: strongly expanded or crateriform (Parthenothrips dracenae); I: clavate (Neohydatothrips gracilicornis); J: slightly expanded and knobbed (Dendrothrips ornatus); K: conical (Oxythrips ajugae); L: blunt (Thrips verbasci); M: knobbed (Tmetothrips subapterus) (Vierbergen et al. 2010).



Figure 28. Detail of tergite surface showing plaques structures. Left: scattered small plaques (Dendrothripinae, Sericothripinae and part of Thripinae); right: with transverse rows of plaques (most Thripinae) (after Vierbergen et al. 2010).



Figure 29. Detail of plates on abdominal tergites. Left: plates without apical microtrichiae (Anaphothrips euphorbiae); right: plates with apical microtrichiae (Frankliniella intonsa) (after Vierbergen et al. 2010).



Figure 30. Detail of tergites 9-10 showing variations in posterior sclerotization. Left: sclerotization totally absent (Thrips palmi); right: sclerotization strong (Frankliniella pallida) (after Vierbergen et al. 2010).

# Thrips palmi Karny, 1925

A complete identification method for T. palmi may be found in the related EPPO standard (EPPO 2018) (Appendix). Here is additionally provided a diagnostic procedure for the morphological identification of second instar larvae (Fig. 31), pinpointing the features used in Vierbergen et al. (2010).



#### Table 5. Diagnostic features used for the identification of T. palmi larvae

#### Practical guide for the identification of Thrips palmi second instar larvae

The following procedure enables to go through Vierbergen et al.'s key. We recommend to first get familiarized with the key before a most straight-forward attempt for T. palmi detection.

When examining a larva, the first point to ensure is that it is a Thripidae Thripinae. This is made by the examination of antennae (Table 5; Fig. 33). Then, that it is a second instar larva by examining the setae on pronotum and abdominal segments (Table 5; Figs 32, 36). Actually, it is sometimes difficult to number precisely the setae on pronotum: because procoxae also bear setae, because setae are sometimes broken, and because the larva I bears only one less. A good tip is thus to focus on the abdomen: a total of 12 setae are theoretically present on both tergite and sternite, they are readily countable by transparency. More, there is a great difference with the eight that are present on larva I, so that the discrimination is still possible even if some setae are broken.

Then, the examination of tergite 9 is very informative. Thrips palmi owns tergite without any sclerotization on posterior margin (Fig. 32), whereas this margin is distinctly darkened in most other commonly intercepted species, including all Frankliniella and other (though not all) Thrips spp. If the tergite is entirely pale, the other generic features (Table 5) have then to be checked (Figs 32, 35).

At this step, the specific identification relies mostly on the examination of abdominal plates. In T. palmi, there are 6-8 distinct transverse rows of plates on each tergite, some of which being devoid of microtrichiae. All the plates in front of the major S setae bear microtrichiae, they hence appear pointed apically. From setae level to the posterior margin, at least two rows of plate also have microtrichiae, but the 1-2 following ones lack such. This feature is often easier to see on larval sides, by observing the protruding plates in profile view. It is also easier to use the relief aspect provided by the interference contrast (Fig. 34).

#### Morphologically close species (larva II)

Other species that are most commonly found on intercepted shipment are readily differentiable with the features listed above. Frankliniella spp. harbor strong sclerotization and usually large teeth on posterior margin of tergite 9. Thrips tabaci lack visible spiracle on tergite 2 and also has a strongly sclerotized margin on tergite 9.

Other more or less common Thrips spp. have no sclerotization on tergite 9: T. major, T. flavus, T. parvispinus… If the tergite 9 is totally pale, it is therefore of critical importance to check the number of plate rows and the presence/absence of microtrichiae. To the current knowledge, the only other species with a similar pattern of microtrichiae is T. juniperinus : it owns 15 rows of plates on each tergite and is moreover restricted to Juniperus communis. However, the precise assessment of the presence of microtrichiae may be difficult. Thus, if the tergite 9 is fully pale, it is highly recommended to check also the other specific features mentioned in Vierbergen et al. (2010).



Figure 31. Thrips palmi, second instar larva (x100). Habitus.



Figure 32. Thrips palmi, second instar larva (x800, phase contrast). Detail of tergites 8-10. On segment 8, a total of 12 setae are visible: three pairs on tergites and three pairs on sternite by transparency. On segment 9, the posterior margin is not at all sclerotized, the postero-marginal teeth are hardly developed, and the distance between campaniform sensillae is slightly less than 1,5x the distance between S1 setae. On tergite 10, setae are slender and acute.



Figure 33. Thrips palmi, second instar larva (x600, phase contrast). Detail of antennae showing the elongations of antennal segments 4, 5 and 7.



Figure 34. Thrips palmi, second instar larva (x800; top: phase contrast; bottom: interference contrast). Detail of tergites 5-7 showing<br>seven rows of plates (numbered) from either sides of setae S. Rows 1-5 bear microtrich



Figure 35. Thrips palmi, second instar larva (x600, phase contrast). Detail of tergite 2 showing spiracle (arrow).



Figure 36. Thrips palmi, second instar larva (x400, phase contrast). Detail of head and pronotum showing the absence of plates and the acute setae on pronotum.

### Bibliographical resources for thrips identification

Three publications are fundamental when identifying intercepted thrips in Europa. They largely contribute to the content of the present document. First, Mound & Kibby (1998) is a practical handbook dedicated to the identification of adult pest thrips. Some extracts are provided in Appendix. Second, Vierbergen et al. 2010 is indispensable for the identification of Thripidae larvae. And third, zur Strassen (2003) is an exhaustive key for the Terebrantia in Europe and neighboring countries.

Many resources are otherwise available besides these cornerstones. A list of them is, devoted to the identification of Terebrantia, is presented in table 6. They are sorted by taxonomical then geographical coverages, and the references are detailed in the "identification keys" section of the References chapter.

Finally, a serial of online matrix keys are available on the Lucid website<sup>2</sup>. The keys have been built for the identification of Thysanoptera of a given region (Thysanoptera Britannica, Thrips of California…) or thrips of economic importance (Pest thrips of the world…). They also provide useful illustrations and detailed descriptions of the included species.



Table 6. Publications forthe identification of Terebrantia, sorted taxonomical and geographical coverages (last updated October 2022).

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.lucidcentral.org/editors-pick-animal-and-plant-identification-keys/thrips-keys/





### **References**

#### Core text

AgroInsurance 2022. India - Pest attack destroys chili crop.

- Bhatti, J. S. 1988. The orders Terebrantia and Tubulifera of the superorder Thysanoptera (Insecta). A Critical Appraisal, Zoology (Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology), Vol. 1: 167–240.
- EPPO 2002. PM 7/11 (1) Diagnostic protocols for regulated pests. Frankliniella occidentalis, EPPO Bulletin, Vol. 32: 281–292
- EPPO 2018. PM 7/3 (3) Diagnostics. Thrips palmi, EPPO Bulletin, Vol. 48: 446-460.
- Mound, L. A. 2003. Thysanoptera. In V. H. Resh, & R. T. Cardé (Eds.), Encyclopedia of insects: 1127- 1132. Amsterdam: Academic Press.
- Mound, L. A. 2005. Thysanoptera: diversity and interactions, Annual Review of Entomology, Vol. 50: 247-269.
- Mound, L. A. 2013. Order Thysanoptera Haliday, 1836, Zootaxa, Vol. 3703: 049–050.
- Mound, L. A. 2018. Biodiversity of Thysanoptera. In F. R.G., & P. H. Adler (Eds.), Insect Biodiversity: Science and Society, Second edition ed., Vol. 2: 483-499. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Mound, L. A., & Kibby, G. 1998. Thysanoptera. An identification guide (Second edition ed.). Wallingford, UK.: CAB International.
- Mound, L. A., & Morris, D. 2007. The insect order Thysanoptera: classification versus systematics, Zootaxa, Vol. 1668: 395–411.
- Mound, L. A., & Walker, A. K. 1982. Terebrantia (Insecta: Thysanoptera). Wellington, New Zealand: Science Information Division, DSIR.
- Mound, L. A., Wang, Z., Lima, E. F. B., & Marullo, R. 2022. Problems with the concept of "pest" among the diversity of pestiferous thrips, Insects, Vol. 13.
- Palmer, J. M., Mound, L. A., & du Heaume, G. J. 1989. CIE guides to insects of importance to Man. 2. Thysanoptera. London, UK: CAB International.
- Ulitzka, M. R. 2022. Thrips-ID All about thrips (Thysanoptera), Vol. 2022.
- Vierbergen, G., Kucharczyk, H., & William, D. J. K. 2010. A key to the second instar larvae of the Thripidae of the Western Palaearctic region (Thysanoptera), Tijdschrift voor Entomologie, Vol. 153: 99-160.
- Zherikhin, V. V. 2002. Order Thripida Fallen. In A. P. Rasnitsyn, & D. L. J. Quicke (Eds.), History of Insects: 133–143. London: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- zur Strassen, R. 2003. Die terebranten Thysanopteran Europas. Keltern: Goecke & Evers.

#### Identification keys

- Alavi, J., & Minaei, K. 2018. Studies on the genus Aeolothrips (Thysanoptera: Aeolothripidae) in Iran, with a key to species, Zootaxa, Vol. 4446: 343-360.
- Alavi, J., Minaei, K., & Fekrat, L. 2014. The Iranian Dendrothripinae (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) with description of a new genus and species, Zootaxa, Vol. 3860: 479-486.
- Andre, F. A. 1939. A Synopsis of the American Species of Chirothrips Haliday (Thysanoptera), Proc ent Soc Wash, Vol. 41: 192-204. Washington, D.C..
- Bailey, F. S. 1951. The genus Aeolothrips Haliday in North America, Hilgardia, Vol. 21: 43-80.
- Bailey, S. F., & Cott, H. E. 1955. A review of the genus Heterothrips Hood (Thysanoptera: Heterothripidae) in North America, with descriptions of two new species, Annals of the Entomological Society of America, Columbus, Vol. 47: 614-635. Columbus.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1971. Five new species of Dendrothrips Uzel, with a key to the Indian species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Oriental Insects, Vol. 5: 345-359.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1973. A preliminary revision of Sericothrips Haliday, sensu lat., and related genera, with a revised concept of the tribe Sericothripini (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Oriental Insects, Vol. 7: 403-449.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1975. A revision of Exothrips Priesner and two related genera (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Oriental Insects, Vol. 9: 45-92.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1978. Studies in the systematics of Rhamphothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Oriental Insects, Vol. 12: 281-303.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1982. Revision of the Indian species of Stenchaetothrips Bagnall (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Oriental Insects., Vol. 16: 385-417.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1986. A new species of Holarthrothrips (Insecta : Terebrantia : Stenurothripidae) from Iraq, with notes on host plants and key to species, along with clarification of the position of this genus among the Thysanoptera, Zoology (Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology), Vol. 1: 1-33.
- Bhatti, J. S. 1990. On some genera related to Chirothrips (Insecta: Terebrantia: Thripidae), Zoology (Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology), Vol. 2: 193-200.
- Bhatti, J. S., & Mound, L. A. 1992. A new genus of thripine Thysanoptera-Terebrantia with craspedote terga, from Trinidad, Zoology (Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology), Vol. 3: 173-188.
- Cavalleri, A., & Mound, L. A. 2012. Toward the identification of Frankliniella species in Brazil (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 1-30.: 30.
- Cui, Y., Xi, J., & Wang, J. 2017. The genus Anaphothrips with one new species from China (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), ZooKeys, Vol. 668: 49-59.
- Dang, L. H., Han, Y. F., Qiao, G. X., & Zheng, Z. M. 2010. Two newly recorded species of the genus Odontothrips Amyot & Serville (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) from China with a key to chinese known species, Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica, Vol. 35: 212-217.
- de Borbon, C. M. 2009a. A redefinition of Dorythrips (Thysanoptera: Melanthripidae) with a description of a new species from Argentina, Zootaxa, Vol. 17-26.: 26.
- de Borbon, C. M. 2009b. Three first recorded of thrips species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in Argentina and key for genera of Thripidae family present in the country. [Spanish], Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Vol. 41: 93-104. Chacras de Coria: Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad Nacional de Cuyo.
- de Borbon, C. M. 2010. The Heterothrips species of Argentina, with two new species and biological notes (Thysanoptera, Heterothripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 45-56.: 56.
- de Borbon, C. M., & Ines Zamar, M. 2018. Two new species of Frankliniella (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) from Argentina with a key to species from Argentina and Chile, Zootaxa, Vol. 4369: 419-431.
- Hoddle, M. S., & Mound, L. A. 2003. The genus Scirtothrips in Australia (Insecta, Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 268: 1-40.
- Johansen, R. M. 1998. The Frankliniella curiosa species group (Thysanoptera : Thripidae), Revista de Biologia Tropical., Vol. 46: 717-738.
- Kudo, I. 1985. The Japanese species of the genus Chaetanaphothrips Priesner (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Kontyu., Vol. 53: 311-328.
- Kudo, I. 1989. The Japanese species of Anaphothrips and Apterothrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Japanese Journal of Entomology, Vol. 57: 477-495.
- Lima, E. F., & Mound, L. A. 2016a. Species-richness in Neotropical Sericothripinae (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 4162: 1-45.
- Lima, E. F., & Mound, L. A. 2016b. Systematic relationships of the Thripidae subfamily Sericothripinae (Insecta: Thysanoptera), Zoologischer Anzeiger, Vol. 263: 24-32.
- Lima, E. F. B., & Miyasato, E. A. 2017. The Frankliniella fauna of Brazil: additions and updated key to species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 4323: 391-402.
- Lima, E. F. B., Miyasato, E. A., & Fontes, L. S. 2018. Species Identification in the Thrips Genus-Group in Brazil, Neotropical Entomology, Vol. 47: 863-870.
- Masumoto, M. 2010. Key to Genera of the Subfamily Thripinae (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) Associated with Japanese Plant Quarantine, Research Bulletin of the Plant Protection Service Japan, Vol. 46: 25-59.
- Masumoto, M., & Minoura, K. 2014. New record of Neohydatothrips medius Wang (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in Japan, with key to Japanese species of Neohydatothrips, Research Bulletin of the Plant Protection Service, Japan, Vol. 17 ref. Yokohama: Yokohama Plant Protection Station.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2005. Trichromothrips Priesner (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) of Japan and Taiwan, with descriptions of four new species and a review of the Trichromothrips group of genera, Zootaxa: 1-27.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2007. The genus Scirtothrips Shull (Insecta, Thysanoptera, Thripidae) and three related genera in Japan, Zootaxa, Vol. 1552: 1-33.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2017. Anaphothrips genus-group: key to world genera, with two new species and three new records from Japan (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 4272: 201- 220.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2019a. Further studies on Scirtothrips genus-group (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in Japan, with five new species, Zootaxa, Vol. 4568: 97-112.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2019b. Review of the Aeolothripidae (Thysanoptera) in Japan, Zootaxa, Vol. 4564: 301-326.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2019c. Three new species of the genus Thrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in Japan, Zootaxa, Vol. 4614: 575-584.
- Masumoto, M., & Okajima, S. 2020. Two new species of Neohydatothrips John (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) from Japan, with a record of micropterous N. elaeagni (Kudo), Zootaxa, Vol. 4869: 404-412.
- Minaei, K. 2016. The genus Neohydatothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in Iran with one new species and first record of a micropterous form, Zootaxa, Vol. 4189: 367-377.
- Minaei, K., & Mound, L. 2010. Grass-flower thrips of the genus Chirothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), with a key to species from Iran, Zootaxa, Vol. 33-43.: 43.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Chen, X., & Tong, X. 2012. A review of the Anaphothrips genus-group (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in China, with descriptions of two new species, Acta Entomologica Sinica, Vol. 55: 719-726.
- Mirab-Balou, M., & Chen, X. x. 2011. The Megalurothrips genus-group in Iran (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Munis Entomology and Zoology, Vol. 6: 944-952.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Minaei, K., & Chen, X. 2013. An illustrated key to the genera of Thripinae (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) from Iran, ZooKeys, Vol. many ref. Sofia: PENSOFT Publishers.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Nourollahi, K., & Jamali, J. 2014. A New Species of the Genus Anaphothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) from Ilam Province, Iran, Far Eastern Entomologist, Vol. 273: 21-24.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Tong, X., & Yang, S. 2013. Neohydatothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) from China: new species and records, with a key to species, Zootaxa, Vol. 3700: 185-194. Auckland: Magnolia Press.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Wang, Z., & Tong, X. 2017. Review of the Panchaetothripinae (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) of China, with two new species descriptions, Canadian Entomologist, Vol. 149: 141- 158.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Yang, S., & Tong, X. 2013. One new species, four new records and key to species of Hydatothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) from China (including Taiwan), Zootaxa, Vol. 3641: 74- 82. Auckland: Magnolia Press.
- Mirab-Balou, M., Yang, S., & Tong, X. 2014. The Frankliniella genus-group (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in China, with a newly recorded genus, Entomotaxonomia, Vol. 36: 97-104.
- Mound, L., & Nakahara, S. 1993. The genus Frankliniella (Thysanoptera, Thripidae): character assessment at generic and specific levels, Zoology (Journal of Pure and Applied Zoology), Vol. 4, December: 287-295.
- Mound, L. A. 1967. A taxonomic revision of the australian Aeolothripidae (Thysanoptera), Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Vol. 20: 43-74.
- Mound, L. A. 2010. Species of the genus Thrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) from the Afro-tropical region, Zootaxa, Vol. 1-24.: 24.
- Mound, L. A., & Azidah, A. A. 2009. Species of the genus Thrips (Thysanoptera) from Peninsular Malaysia, with a checklist of recorded Thripidae, Zootaxa, Vol. 2023: 55-68.
- Mound, L. A., Azidah, A. A., & Ng, Y. F. 2012. Key to the non-fossil species of the genus Taeniothrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 3414: 42. Auckland: Magnolia Press.
- Mound, L. A., Heming, B. S., & Palmer, J. M. 1980. Phylogenetic relationship between the families of recent Thysanoptera (Insecta), Zoological Journal Of The Linnean Society, Vol. 69: 111-114.
- Mound, L. A., & Marullo, R. 1993. The Erythrothrips complex of tropical Aeolothripidae (Thysanoptera) with new taxa from Australia and South Africa, Entomologica Scandinavica., Vol. 24: 285-291.
- Mound, L. A., & Marullo, R. 1998. Two new basal-clade Thysanoptera from California with old world affinities, Journal of the New York Entomological Society, Vol. 106: 81-94.
- Mound, L. A., Marullo, R., & Trueman, J. W. H. 2001. The greenhouse thrips, Heliothrips haemorrhoidalis , and its generic relationships within the subfamily Panchaetothripinae (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Insect Systematics & Evolution, Vol. 32: 205-216.
- Mound, L. A., & Masumoto, M. 2009. Australian Thripinae of the Anaphothrips genus-group (Thysanoptera), with three new genera and thirty-three new species, Zootaxa, Vol. 2042: 1-76.
- Mound, L. A., & Ng, Y. F. 2009. An illustrated key to the genera of Thripinae (Thysanoptera) from south east Asia, Zootaxa, Vol. 27-47.: 47.
- Mound, L. A., & O'Neill, K. 1974. Taxonomy of the Merothripidae, with ecological and phylogenetic considerations (Thysanoptera), Journal nat.Hist., Vol. 8: 481-509.
- Mound, L. A., & Palmer, J. M. 1972. Grass-flower infesting thrips of the genus Chirothrips Haliday in Australia, Journal of the Australian Entomological Society, Vol. 11: 332-339.
- Mound, L. A., & Palmer, J. M. 1981. Identification, distribution and host-plants of the pest species of Scirtothrips (Thysanoptera : Thripidae), Bull.ent.Res., Vol. 71: 467-479.
- Mound, L. A., & Reynaud, P. 2005. Franklinothrips , a pantropical Thysanoptera genus of ant-mimicking obligate predators (Aeolothripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 864 1-16.
- Mound, L. A., & Stiller, M. 2011. Species of the genus Scirtothrips from Africa (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 2786: 51-61.
- Mound, L. A., & Tree, D. J. 2007. Oriental and Pacific Thripidae (Thysanoptera) new to Australia, with a new species of Pseudodendrothrips schmutz, Australian Entomologist, Vol. 34: 7-14. Indooroopilly Australia: Entomological Society of Queensland.
- Mound, L. A., & Tree, D. J. 2009. Identification and host-plant associations of Australian Sericothripinae (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 1983: 1-22.
- Mound, L. A., & Tree, D. J. 2016. Genera of the leaf-feeding Dendrothripinae of the world (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), with new species from Australia and Sulawesi, Indonesia, Zootaxa, Vol. 4109: 569-582.
- Nakahara, S. 1995. Review of the Nearctic species of Anaphothrips (Thysanoptera : Thripidae), Insecta Mundi., Vol. 9: 221-246.
- Nakahara, S., & Foottit, R. G. 2012. Review of Chirothrips and related genera (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) of the Americas, with descriptions of one new genus and four new species, Zootaxa, Vol. 1-29.: 29.
- Ng, Y. F., & Mound, L. A. 2015. Genera of the Scirtothrips genus-group (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) with a new species of Siamothrips from Malaysia, Zootaxa, Vol. 4021: 387-394.
- Ng, Y. F., Mound, L. A., & Azidah, A. A. 2014. The genus Scirtothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in Malaysia, with four new species and comments on Biltothrips, a related genus, Zootaxa, Vol. 3856: 253-266.
- Nickle, D. A. 2004. Commonly intercepted thrips (Thysanoptera) from Europe, the Mediterranean, and Africa at U.S. ports-of-entry. Part II. Frankliniella Karny and Iridothrips Priesner (Thripidae), Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Washington, Vol. 106: 438-452: Entomological Society of Washington.
- Noguchi, S., & Masumoto, M. 2019. Description of a new species of the genus Dendrothrips Uzel (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) from Japan, Zootaxa, Vol. 4695: 95-100.
- Nonaka, T., & Okajima, S. 1992. Descriptions of seven new species of the genus Chaetanaphothrips Priesner (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) from east Asia, Japanese Journal of Entomology, Vol. 60: 433- 447.
- Ortiz, P. 1977. The genus Frankliniella Karny (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) in Peru. [Spanish], Revista.Peruana.de.Entomologia., Vol. 1977. 20: 49-62.
- Palmer, J. 1987. Megalurothrips in the flowers of tropical legumes: a morphometric study, Vol. Proceedings of International Symposia, Smolenice, Czechoslovakia: 480-495.
- Pereyra, V. 2011. Phylogenetic analysis of the small fungivorous family Merothripidae (Thysanoptera), Insect Systematics and Evolution, Vol. 42: 385-409.
- Pereyra, V., & Cavalleri, A. 2012. The genus Heterothrips (Thysanoptera) in Brazil, with an identification key and seven new species, Zootaxa, Vol. 3237: 1-23.
- Pereyra, V., & Manuel De Borbon, C. 2013. The American genus Dactuliothrips (Thysanoptera: Aeolothripidae) with three new species, Zootaxa, Vol. 3734: 1-14.
- Pereyra, V., & Mound, L. A. 2010. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus Desmothrips (Thysanoptera, Aeolothripidae), an Australian genus of facultative flower-living predators, Systematic Entomology, Vol. 35: 306-317.
- Pitkin, B. R. 1972a. A revision of the Australian genus Odontothripiella Bagnall, with descriptions of fourteen new species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Journal of the Australian Entomological Society, Vol. 11: 265-289.
- Pitkin, B. R. 1972b. A revision of the flower-living genus Odontothrips Amyot & Serville (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History), Vol. 26: 373-402.
- Pitkin, B. R. 1977. A revision of the genus Chaetanaphothrips Priesner (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Bulletin of Entomological Research, Vol. 67: 599-605.
- Pitkin, B. R. 1978. A revision of the Australian species of Anaphothrips Uzel (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Australian Journal of Zoology, Vol. 26: 349-371.
- Retana-Salazar, A. P. 2007. Thysanopterans of the generic group Anaphothrips (Thripidae: Thripinae) with emphasis in Central America, Revista De Biologia Tropical, Vol. 55: 321-333.
- Retana-Salazar, A. P. 2009. Species of Heterothrips Hood (Terebrantia: Heterothripidae) from Central America, Ceiba, Vol. 50: 10-17.
- Retana-Salazar, A. P., Cambero-Campas, O. J., Sanchez-Monge, A., & Rodriguez-Arrieta, J. A. 2010. Key to the Central American and Caribbean species of the Frankliniella minuta group (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) with the description of a new species, Metodos en Ecologia y Sistematica, Vol. 5: 27- 35.
- Retana-Salazar, A. P., Ruiz De La Cruz, J., Rodriguez-Arrieta, A., Vasquez-Lopez, A., Johansen-Naime, R., & Eulises Bernal-Prado, N. 2014. The Frankliniella tritici group in Central America, the Caribbean and Mexico (Terebrantia: Thripidae) and description of a new species for Mexico, Frankliniella oaxacensis, Acta Zoologica Mexicana Nueva Serie, Vol. 30: 41-60.
- Sakimura, K., & O'Neill, K. 1979. Frankliniella, redefinition of genus and revision of minuta group species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Technical Bulletin Science and Education Administration United States Department of Agriculture, Vol. 1979. No. 1572, 49 pp. 48 ref.: 49.
- Tong, X., Wang, Z., & Mirab-Balou, M. 2016. Two new species and one new record of the genus Asprothrips (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) from China, Zootaxa, Vol. 4061: 181-188.
- Tyagi, K., & Kumar, V. 2016. The Sericothripinae genus Neohydatothrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) in India with description of two new species, Zootaxa, Vol. 4132: 438-444.
- Vierbergen, G. 1995. The genus Frankliniella in the Netherlands, with a key to the species (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Entomologische Berichten., Vol. 55: 185-192.
- Wang, C. L. 2007. Hydatothrips and Neohydatothrips (Thysanoptera, Thripidae) of East and South Asia with three new species from Taiwan, Zootaxa, Vol. 1575: 47-68.
- Wilson, T. H. 1975. A monograph of the subfamily Panchaetothripinae (Thysanoptera : Thripidae): The american Entomological Institute.
- Xie, Y., Zhang, H., & Li, Z. 2011. A new Ctenothrips from southwestern China (Thysanoptera: Thripidae), Zootaxa, Vol. 2926: 68. Auckland: Magnolia Press.
- Zhang, H., Xie, Y., & Li, Z. 2011. Identification key to species of Thrips genus from China (Thysanoptera, Thripidae), with seven new records, Zootaxa, Vol. 37-46.: 46.
- zur Strassen, R. 1960. Key to and catalogue of the known species of Chirothrips, 1836 (Thysanoptera : Thripidae), Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa, Vol. 23: 145-176.
- zur Strassen, R. 1968. Tabellarische Arten-šbersicht der Fransenfl ger-Gattung Dendrothrips Uzel 1895 (Thysanoptera : Thripidae), Journal of the Entomological Society of Southern Africa, Vol. 31: 213-220.