

Criteria for assessing the research proposals in CoVetLab (Club 5) for 2016

Scope

To support applied and/or translational research by the CoVetLab agencies, and to share costs for developing new diagnostic and surveillance methods.

Criteria will be

1. Scientific quality and inventiveness
2. Feasibility and management of critical success factors
3. Importance usefulness to the diagnostic agency
4. Added value for the society and/or industry
5. The potential of the project to become an input to a future Horizon 2020, or different funding source, application

The quality level of each project is specified as excellent, high, medium or low. A prioritization is given from each partner for the projects the institute is a partner of, 1 for the first prioritization, 2 for the second and so on.

1. Scientific quality and inventiveness

Excellent - the project is of a breakthrough character - represents a major advance or innovation. Should result in publications in peer review and high rated journals

High - the project is of high scientific quality and should result in publications in peer review journals

Medium - the project is acceptable i.e., based on sound science

Low - no material scientific achievement or innovation

2. Feasibility and management of critical success factors

Excellent - the project is practical and feasible within the time period (max 18 months), full control over all critical success factors

High - the project should be feasible within time period, all critical success factors identified and most important controlled

Medium - the project ought to be feasible, but questions remain on the control of success factors

Low - it is not possible to determine whether the project has identified and controlled the critical success factors.

3. Importance usefulness to the diagnostic agency

Excellent - breakthrough for veterinary diagnostic laboratory procedures

High - major improvement in the vet diagnostic laboratory

Medium - useful or incremental improvement

Low - no material improvement

4. Added value for the society and/or industry

Excellent - value added of project results very high, major improvement in risk management.

High - value added high e.g., the project results if successful would either save considerable amounts of money, improve animal welfare, public health, environmental or food safety

Medium - value added is foreseen to be positive for society or industry,

Low - no material change for society or industry from this project

5. The potential of the project to become an input to a future Horizon 2020, or different funding source, application

Excellent - there is a reference to an already existing or planned call and the proposed participation is described

High - ideas are given about upcoming calls where the project could be an input and how this should be done

Medium - there is a potential, but for the moment there are no relevant known calls

Low - no such potential can be anticipated